

Before Hon'ble AJM - 2nd at Nagpur

Civ. Case No 147/02 F/F 8.7.25

St/Sunil Kedar + others

ETH-3690

Reply on Exh 3666 an application
filed for Discharge of accused
no-3

Your Hon'ble Honour

This application is filed by
accused no-3 for discharge from
the offence u/s 406, 409, 467, 471
R/w 34 120.B of IPC.

This application is filed ~~on~~ is a
lengthy & only on the point
of investigation. & hence this appli-
cation is strongly opposed on
following grounds..

1] This ~~app~~ ~~case~~ chargesheet was
come to be filed in year 2002

after detailed investigation
this accused received chargesheet
twice & in both time he did
not filed discharge application
nor to

2) It is pertinent to note that from
2002 to 2002, this accused have
not taken objection on investigation
on investigation.

3) It is submitted that on the
charge which was levelled
against the accused along with
other accused, ~~was~~ have been
on the basis of charge offence
alleged against the all accused charge
was framed & ~~trial~~ trial was
commenced ~~separately~~ separating This
accused from trial.

4) It is at this juncture it
is no need to address to this
Hon'ble Court that after trial
5 accused were convicted &
most important to quote that

that the partner of HTH
(4) the partner of this accused
was came to be convicted
on the basis of same charge
sheet.

5) Further And hence the conten-
tion of the accused that
his company was not
brokerage company & there was
a sale & buy transaction transac-
tion & Principal to principal
transaction.

6) It is also submitted that
this accused is ready evidence
recorded by prosecution for other
accused & for his discharge
from the charge without entering
into trial which is against the
procedure of CrPc.

7) It is also pertinent to ^{note} ~~note~~
that this accused also ready

Investigation
charge sheet
he did
tion

the document which was No Exhibit during trial for claiming discharge & ^{same} ~~against~~ is against the law. as discharge is the procedure before framing of charge & this accused is claiming the discharge by reading the evidence of witnesses. & there is no such procedure in CrPc that an accused who was kept separated from trial can claim discharge on by taking benefit of things already placed on record.

Answer about this applⁿ is only to a unnecessary prolong of proceedings which is time bound & to consume the time of the court by foul tactic hence this applⁿ is dismissed & be rejected

Narpat.

8/4/25

Chaudhary.

Spl. A.P.P

Stan
Sunil vs.
Kedar

Account no. 1 - Chairman NACC
2 of 11 G.M & Chief account

Audit 1-4-99 - 31-3-2001

Investment portfolio was found increased
Physical securities - zerox copies - Home trade
Limited

Account no. 3 to 10 directors employees
of HTL - broker companies
1 & 2 unauthorizedly invested money