

11/12/2008
①

To,
Police Prosecutor,
Valsad Court.

It is the request report of P.P. Chaudhary,
Police Sub Inspector, Valsad city police
station.

In reference to the case with the Valsad
City Police Station, I crime registration
number 119/02 and court case number 876/06, it
is stated that Niraj Amighar Surti had filed a
writ in the court. The case had been presented
with the original case documents before the
Gujarat High Court on 26/12/08. Therefore, it
is requested that the original case documents
be handed over, as he was previously presented
in the court, and this information is now
brought to your notice.

Date: 23/12/08

Sd/-

P.P. Chaudhary
Police Sub Inspector
Valsad City Po. St.

(2)

Outward No. 3163/2003

Office of the Sup of Police

Valsad City Police Station

Date: 18/11/2003

To,

Public Prosecutor

Valsad (First Court)

Subject: Regarding the Retention of Original
Case Documents.

It is the request report of the L D Sosa,
Police Sub Inspector, Valsad city Police
Station that,

With reference to the above subject, it is
to inform that in Valsad Police Station I,
Crime Registration Number 119/02, under I. P.
C Sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 120B,
34, the First accused number 1 Ketan Kantilal
Sheth, residing in Mumbai, and accused number
2 Sanjay Hariram Agarwal, residing in Mumbai,
were charged under Charge Sheet Number 146/02,
dated 11/11/02. The documents related to the

investigation have been sent to you as original plain papers, which were dispatched at that time, with CC Number 2121/02.

Subsequently, Accused Number 3, Subodhchandra Dayal Bhandari, residing in Mumbai, was arrested on 04/01/03, and against him, Charge Sheet Number 33/03, dated 24/03/03, was filed along with a supplementary charge sheet. The documents related to the investigation have been sent to you as part number 2, which has been recorded in the court under CC Number 1238/03, dated 02/04/03.

The fourth accused, Ketan Ramesh Maskariya, residing in Mumbai, was charged after sufficient evidence was found. The charge sheet, numbered 179/03, dated 15/08/03, was filed with the Judicial First Class Magistrate's Court in Valsad, along with a supplementary charge sheet. The investigation documents in part number 3 include exhibits 1 through 144. Therefore, it is requested that the original documents from part number 1, which were given in the case with CC number 2121/02, be kept together with these documents.

That is sent in case CC No. 2121/03, dated 16/02/03.

Afterward, a Xerox copy of the case papers against Accused No. 5, Nand kishore, was made, and supplementary Charge sheet I 110/03 was filed and sent to the Judicial First Class Magistrate Court in Valsad. The original case papers, along with copies for the honourable court and the accused, have been provided, including documents numbered from 1 to 115 and 115 to 164. These original case documents, as well as the previously mentioned ones, are attached herein. It is requested to proceed with the case trial and reach a final decision. Additionally, parts 1, 2, 2/1, and 3 of the original case documents are included along with this communication.

Copy forwarded to,

Through police inspector Valsad city police station

Date: 18/11/03

Sd/-

Police Sub inspector
District Highway
Valsad

To,
Public Prosecutor,
Valsad (First Court).

Subject: Regarding the Retention of Original
Case Documents

It is the request report of L D Sosa,
Police Sub Inspector, Valsad city Police
Station that,

With reference to the above subject, it is
to inform that in Valsad Police Station I,
Crime Registration Number 119/02, under I. P.
C Sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 120B,
34, the First accused number 1 Ketan Kantilal
Sheth, residing in Mumbai, and accused number
2 Sanjay Hariram Agarwal, residing in Mumbai,
were charged under Charge Sheet Number 146/02,
dated 11/11/02. The documents related to the
investigation have been sent to you as original
plain papers, which were dispatched at that
time, with CC Number 2121/02.

Subsequently, Accused Number 3,
Subodhchandra Dayal Bhandari, residing in
Mumbai, was arrested on 04/01/03, and against

him, Charge Sheet Number 33/03, dated 24/03/03, was filed along with a supplementary charge sheet. The documents related to the investigation have been sent to you as part number 2, which has been recorded in the court under CC Number 1238/03, dated 02/04/03.

The fourth accused, Ketan Ramesh Maskariya, residing in Mumbai, was charged after sufficient evidence was found. The charge sheet, numbered 179/03, dated 15/08/03, was filed with the Judicial First Class Magistrate's Court in Valsad, along with a supplementary charge sheet. The investigation documents in part number 3 include exhibits 1 through 144. Therefore, it is requested that the original documents from part number 1, which were given in the case with CC number 2121/02, be kept together with these documents. It is requested to proceed with the case trial and reach a final decision.

Copy forwarded to,

Through police inspector Valsad city police station

Date: 15/08/03

Sd/-

Police Sub inspector

District Highway

Valsad

List of the original documents of the accused
Ketan Ramesh Maskariya in the case of Valsad
Police Station I, Crime Registration Number
119/02, under I. P. C Sections 406, 409, 420,
421, 422, 423, 120B, 34.

Sr No	Detail of documents	No of pages	Remarks
	Part 3-A		
1	Panchanamu of the Specimen signature	1	
2	Panchanamu	1	
3	A reply to the letter sent by Sadul Security	12	
4	Letter of the Postal and RMS, date: 04/03/03	1	
5	Answer of letter of penvalt of India limited, date: 01/03/03	8	
6	Answer given by the Sainik Bank, Satara, date: 06/03/03	1	
7	Letter of construction limited and fund date: 03/03/03	11	
8	Answer letter of illegible Bank	11	

9	Answer of letter of J.P. Agrawal	5	
10	Answer of Gems Engineering and fund, date: 01/03/03	12	
11	Answer letter of U T I Bank limited, H. Bhadrachari, date: 11/03/03	1	
12	Answer letter of police staff LTU B D Doshi	2	
13	Letter answer of Falguni Atul Oza	2	
14	IVP Limited Gratuity card Letter Answer of H.P. Kothari	1	
15	Letter answer of G Prabhakaran Stock corporation	1	
16	Letter illegible	1	
17	Letter answer of Minaxi R Chittaliya date: 03/03/03	1	
18	SEBI letter of Anita Banker. Date: 10/03/03	8	
19	Letter dated 04/03/03 from Inspector of Registration, Mumbai	1	

20	List sent to police inspector, Bharuch to arrest the accused	1	
21	Answer of Amighar Hiralal Surati	3	
22	Answer of Ashwin Chimanlal Gandhi	1	
23	Copy of list written to Superintendent of city Survey, Bharuch	1	
24	Copy of list written to Judicial First Class Magistrate	2	
25	List given by Superintendent of City Survey, Bharuch	3	
26	Letter written by DSP	1	
27	A copy of the list written to get permission to go for inspection	1	
28	NHF message	1	
29	Letter received from DSP	1	
30	Letter received from DSP	1	
31	Letter received from DSP	1	

32	Copy of list written to Judicial First Class Magistrate, Valsad	1	
33	Copy of transfer warrant	1	
34	Letter written to police inspector	2	
35	Letter received from C. I. D Nagpur	1	
36	List written to the Superintendent of Police, Valsad to go and pick up the accused	1	
37	Copy of NHF message	1	
38	List written to police inspector, Valsad city police station	1	
39	Copy of list written to police inspector, Sanjaynagar, Bangalore	1	
40	Copy of list written to police inspector, Sanjaynagar, Bangalore for police help	1	

41	Copy of list written to police inspector, Sadashivanagar, Bangalore for police help	3	
42	Answer of Lilaben W/O Manoj alias Mike Ambalal Shah	1	
43	Copy of list written to police inspector, Sadashivanagar, Bangalore for police help	1	
44	Copy of list written to Superintendent of Police, Mumbai	1	
45	List written to the police inspector	1	
46	Copy of the fax message sent to the police station by the police inspector, Umara	2	
47	Answer of Hiren Uday Gada Jain and appointment letter	4+4	
48	Answer given by the Hirenbhai Uday Gada	1	
49	Copy of list written to police inspector, Valsad city	1	

50	Answer of Ketan Ramesh Maskariya	2	
51	Answer of Ketan Ramesh Maskariya	2	
52	Copy of list written to Judicial First Class Magistrate, Valsad	2	
53	Copy of NHF message	1	
54	List written to police station officer	1	
55	Copy of list written to medical officer, civil hospital	1	
56	Copy of fax message sent by Commissioner of Police, Surat, Rajkot etc	2	
57	Copy of warrant for remand in custody	1	
58	A list written to the Superintendent of Police for the labour of going to the investigation	1	
59	Copy of NHF message	1	

60	Answer of Ketan Ramesh Maskariya and letter of C. I. D	3	
61	Answer of Dinkarlal Motilal Gandhi	1	
62	Answer of Shashank Gopal Ranade and report of SL	3	
63	Answer of Alkaben Shashank Ranade	1	
64	Answer of Ketan Ramesh Maskariya	2	
65	Copy of list written to Superintendent of Police, Valsad	1	
66	Answer of Hiten Bhupendra Shah and documents	13	
67	List written to Hitesh Bhupendra Shah	1	
68	Answer of Ketan Ramesh Maskariya	1	
69	Copy of list written by medical officer, Civil hospital	1	

70	Answer of Ketan Ramesh Maskariya	1	
71	Copy of NHF message	1	
72	Copy of list written to Superintendent of Police, Valsad	4	
73	Letter received from Co operative bank limited	2	
74	Answer of Ketan Ramesh Maskariya	2	
75	Copy of list written to Judicial First Class Magistrate, Valsad	1	
76	Copy of list written to Judicial First Class Magistrate, Valsad	1	
77	Copy of list written to Judicial First Class Magistrate, Nagpur	1	
78	Copy of list written to Judicial First Class Magistrate, Valsad	1	

79	Copy of list written to Superintendent of Police, Valsad	1	
80	List given to Police Inspector B.U. Desai	1	
81	Copy of list written to Judicial First Class Magistrate, Valsad	1	
82	Answer of Ketan Ramesh Maskariya	2	
83	Copy of list written to Judicial First Class Magistrate, Valsad	1	
84	Copy of letter received from Judicial First Class Magistrate, Valsad	1	
85	List written to District public prosecutor	2	
86	Letter received from Chief court, Valsad	4	
87	Answer of Shilpa W/O Hitesh Bhupendra Shah	1	

88	Copy of fax message sent to police superintendent, Mumbai	1	
89	List written to Hiten Bhupendra Shah	1	
90	Letter received from Nagpur Court	2	
91	Copy of receipt	1	
92	List written to People Bank, Valsad	1	
93	Affidavit of the Ketan Ramesh Masakariya	6	
94	Copy of list written to Superintendent of Police, Valsad	1	
95	Copy of list written to Superintendent of Police, Valsad (LCB Branch)	1	
96	List written to Chief Signature Expert	1	
97	Copy of list written to Superintendent of Police	1	
98	Answer of Chetan Raman Desai	1	
99	Answer of Hiten Bhupendra Shah	1	

100	Answer of Shilpa Hiten Shah	1	
101	Copy of list written to Hitesh Bhupendra Shah	1	
102	Letter of high court hearing	1	
103	Opinion report given by Chief Signature Expert, Ahmedabad	2	
104	Affidavit filed against Ketan Ramesh Maskariya	6	
105	Copy of list written to Superintendent of Police, Valsad	1	
106	List of allowed to go to high court	1	
107	List written to Superintendent of Police, Valsad for permission to go for investigation	1	
108	Letter of permission to go for inspection	1	
109	List of PP of the high court	1	
110	Letter for getting permission to go to the high court .	1	
111	Progress report	2	

112	List written to police inspector, LCB	2	
113	O/C of final report	1	
114	Xerox copy of charge sheet	4	
115	Copy of list written to	1	
[1]	Judicial First Class Magistrate, Valsad to obtain certified copies of case papers		
116	Copy of checklist for sending signature specimen of accused Ketan Ramesh Maskariya to signature expert	3	
117	Copy of fax message to CBI Mumbai	4	
118	Copy of fax message received from CBI Mumbai	3	
119	VIP message given to police inspector for approval in investigation case	1	
120	Copies of fax messages produced by the Public Prosecutor High Court	1	

	Ahmedabad along with the investigation papers		
121	Letter from Deputy Registrar High Court Ahmedabad (Ketan Kantilal Sheth)	2	
122	Letter from Deputy Registrar High Court Ahmedabad (Suvada Chand Dayal Bhandari)	5	
122	order from Deputy Registrar High Court Ahmedabad (Suvada Chand Dayal Bhandari)	4	
123	Papers to be present in the investigation case conducted by the Public Prosecutor High Court Ahmedabad	2	
124	Xerox copy of letter written by S.K. Bhargav, Indian Reserve Bank manaer, Worli, Mumbai	1	
125	Xerox copy of letter written by Om Das, assistant General manager, Indian reserve Bank	1	

126	Xerox copy of letter written by Parag jain, Security Exchange Board of India	1	
127	Public Prosecutor High Court Ahmedabad to appear in the investigation case fax message	1	
128	Ahmedabad High Court granted bail to Ketan Ramesh Mashkariya	5	
128	Order papers made to Ketan Ramesh Mashkariya by Assistant Registrar in High Court Ahmedabad	3	
129	Accused Nand kishore Aggarwal Shankarlal Trivedi filed an application for production warrant in Form No. 36 under the provisions of Section 267 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.	4	
130	Police Inspector, LCB, Valsad wrote a letter to Police Inspector, Valsad City to	1	

	cancel the names of the three accused from the revised list.		
131	Copy of list written by Inspector of Police, Valsad City for production warrant to Judicial First Class Magistrate Valsad for all three 20/09/03	1	
132	Copy of list written authorizing Inspector of Police, Valsad to go to Nagpur for investigation	1	
133	Judicial First Class Magistrate Valsad has issued a production warrant under Form 36 as per Section 267 of the Criminal Procedure Code to Judicial First Class Magistrate Nagpur.	2	
134	VHF message sent by police superintendent for getting permission to go to Nagpur	1	

135	Copy of list written by Police Inspector Valsad City to Police Inspector O Division Bharuch to investigate the accused	1	
136	Application given by Police Inspector Valsad City to Judicial Magistrate Nagpur for handover of accused and production warrant as per Form 36 of Section 267	5	
137	Copy of list written to Central Jail Nagpur and copy of list written to Judicial Magistrate, Valsad for handover of accused	2	
138	A memo addressed to the Police Inspector, Valsad City, instructing to record the arrest of the accused in the station diary	1	
139	Answers taken of accused Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi	12	

	dated 19/10/03, 20/10/03, 21/10/03, 22/03/03, 23/10/03 and 24/10/03		
140	Documents presented by the accused Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi	22	
141	A copy of the list submitted by the accused Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi before the Judicial Magistrate Valsad Court and a copy of the remand application	4	
142	Remand warrant of the accused Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi	1	
143	Copy of the VHF message written by the accused Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi for investigation and copy of the fax message sent to police commissioner Surat, Rajkot city, and police	2	

	superintendent Navsari, Rajkot Rural, Anand		
144	A copy of the list written to the Civil Hospital Medical Officer for the medical of the accused	1	
145	Documentary papers produced in the case of inquiry in the case of Sheth BB Shroff People's Bank Valsad	7	
146	The Bank Manager of People's Bank, Valsad, provided documents containing the route map for security investments	5	
147	List of documents to Superintendent of Police Valsad to get permission to go for investigation	1	
148	The search panchnama of the accused Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi's house at Mumbai and the Xerox copy of	8	

	the panchnama conducted by the Mumbai Maharashtra Police		
149	Medical case of the accused Nand kishore Shankarlal	1	
150	Answer of late Dinkarlal Gandhi	1	
151	Answer of Hansaben Vasantlal	1	
152	Answer of Bhavnaben Maheshbhai Vora	1	
153	Copy of list written to medical officer, civil hospital	1	
154	The seized Panchnama written with the signature of the accused	1	
155	Panchanamu date: 24/10/2003	1	
156	Copy of written list and copy of warrant to be produced to Judicial Magistrate, Valsad after completion of remand of accused	2	
157	Xerox copy of the list written to Jailor Valsad to send the	3	

	accused to jail and copy of the order given to Jailer Valsad		
158	xerox copy of the list given to jailor, Nagpur to take custody of the accused	1	
159	Xerox copy of the warrant	1	
160	Copy of the list written to hand over the accused Police Constable Sanjay to Central Jail Nagpur and true copy of the list given to take custody of the accused as per production warrant	1	
161	A Xerox copy of the report written to the District Superintendent of Police regarding the comparison of the signatures and documents of the accused Nand kishore's sample, which were examined by the Chief Signature Expert, Handwriting and Photography Bureau, Gujarat State, New	6	

	Mental Corner, Ahmedabad, along with the expert's opinion, a copy of the signature, and the accused's affidavit.		
162	Progress report sent to the district police superintendent, Valsad	2	
163	Copy of the final report of the crime	2	
164	Xerox copy of the final charge sheet	4	
Date: 18/11/2003 Sd/- Police Sub Inspector Valsad city Valsad			
53	Fax message 08/12/03	1	
54	Accused's report for not finding evidence	2	
55	Bank report	1	

56	Address report submitted to the bank.	2	
57	The order quashing the bail application of Nand Kishore	16	
58	O/C of the progress report of the police superintendent.	2	

To,

Police Inspector,

A division,

Bharuch police Station.

It is the request report of the L D Sosa, police sub inspector, office of the police superintendent, Valsad,

With reference to the above-mentioned subject, it is to inform you that in relation to Valsad Police Station I Crime Register No. 179/2002 under I. P. C sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 120B, and 34, both accused No. 1 Niraj Amidhara Surti and No. 2 Kritiben Niraj Surti, address: Pritamnagar-1, Bharuch and his father Amighar Hiralal Surati, address: Matru Ashish, Majur compound, Savali Sanghvai road,

Bharuch¹ have not been located despite conducting investigations at both their known locations. If both accused are found during the investigation, it is requested to inform the office of the Superintendent of Police at 02632 253333.

Sd/-

A.D. Sosa

Police Sub Inspector

Office of the District

Police Superintendent

Valsad

My name is Amighar Hiralal Surti, age: 64, profession: Advocate, residence: Matru Ashish, Majur Compound, Singhwai Road, Bharuch, phone number: 240007.

On asking in person, I am writing this statement, stating that I live at the above-mentioned address with my family and am currently practicing law. I have two sons. The elder one is Niraj, and the younger one is Kaushal, who is currently studying. My son Niraj is a qualified CA and is engaged in his profession. Earlier, my son Niraj was working in Surat. Later, he opened his office on the First floor of Surya Flats, Surya Shopping Center, Bharuch, and worked there. He used to live with us. The house we currently live in is in my name, which I purchased in 1974. My son Niraj was married to Kritiben, and afterward, they purchased a bungalow in Pritamnagar 1, Bharuch, in the year 2001 and lived there.

In the year 2002, I came to know from the newspapers that cases were filed against Home Trade Limited Company regarding buying and

selling of government securities in Valsad, Navsari, Surat, etc., Co operative banks. I also learned that my son Niraj was involved in buying and selling securities with a nationalized bank through Home Trade Limited Company. With the police visiting my house frequently for investigations, he and his wife left the house. I do not know where they are now. They do not visit my house, nor do they stay in the bungalow they had purchased. Currently, I have no information about their whereabouts.

Date: 10/03/03

My name is Ashwinbhai Chimanlal Modi, residing at 8/35, business by profession, living at 84 Pritamnagar Society-1, Bharuch.

Upon inquiry, it has been written that I, as mentioned above, reside at this address and sustain myself through my business.

Today, during an investigation, it was revealed that Niraj A. Surti, who resides at Bungalow No. 55 in Pritamnagar-1, Bharuch, has not been residing there for the last one and a half years as the house has been vacant. To the best of my knowledge, he no longer visits here and is believed to be in Surat, Valsad, Navsari, or other districts.

I came to know from a newspaper report that multiple cases of fraud, involving crores of rupees, have been filed against Mumbai Home trade Limited for the illicit trading of government securities, especially in

cooperative banks in Surat, Valsad, Navsari, and other regions. It was also reported that the police from Valsad and Surat are particularly interested in Niraj Surti's involvement in these cases.

As per our knowledge, Niraj Surti is also involved in the aforementioned fraud case. Currently, he is not residing in this house, and I have no information about his whereabouts.

This is the facts from my side.

In person,

Sd/-

(L D Sosa)

Police Sub-Inspector

District Police Officer's Office

Valsad

To,
The City Survey Superintendent,
Bharuch.

Subject: Request for information regarding
property ownership

With due respect, I, L D Sosa, Police Sub
Inspector, District Police Superintendent
Office, Valsad, District: Valsad, hereby humbly
request that,

As per Valsad City Police station I Crime Reg
No. 119/2002 under I. P. C Sections 406, 409,
420, 421, 422, 423, 120B, 34, etc., case
accused Niraj Amidhar Surti, residing at
Bungalow No. 55, Pritamnagar, is to be
arrested in the case of referred crime, he was
not found at his residence, and the bungalow
is closed. Therefore, I kindly request you to
provide the information on whose name the
ownership of this bungalow is registered.

Additionally, it is known that his father,
Amidhar Hiralal Surti, resides at Shree Nagar
Society, Bharuch. It is requested that your

records be checked to confirm the ownership details of this bungalow and provide the information accordingly.

Date: 10/03/03

Note: As per information, Niraj Surti's wife, Mrs. Krutiben Niraj Surti, resides at Surya Flats, First Floor, Surya Shopping Complex, Bharuch. Kindly confirm if the property is in her name.

Sd/-

(L D Sosa)

Police Sub-Inspector

District Police Officer's Office,

Valsad

From:

City Survey Superintendent

Office,

Behind K U Petrol Pump,

Station Road,

Bharuch 392001

Phone No: 33943

Date: 12/03/2003

To,

Shri L D Sosa

Police Sub Inspector,

District Police Office,

Valsad, District Valsad.

Subject: Request for providing information on
property ownership.

Reference: Your application dated 10/03/03.

With reference to the above subject and your application dated 10/03/03, regarding the inquiry into Bungalow No. 55 at Pritamnagar, Ward 1, Bharuch, I have reviewed the relevant documents and records. This bungalow is in the

name of Girish, Haresh Ratilal and Harendra Desai. Along with this the xerox copy of property card is attached which may please be noted.

Date: 19/03/03

Sd/-

City Survey Superintendent,
Bharuch.

L/R

Police Sub Inspector,
District Police Officer's Office
Valsad

Date: 09/04/2003

To,

Shri Superintendent of Police,
Valsad District, Valsad.

Subject: Request for permission to participate
in the investigation.

With respect, Jai Bharat. I, Police Sub-Inspector L D Sosa, along with L/R sub inspector, women's cell, from the Office of the District Police Superintendent, Valsad district, Valsad, request report that:

The matter concerns an investigation under Valsad City Police Station 1, where the case is registered under I Crime Registration No 118/2002 under I. P. C Sections 420, 421, 423, 467, 120B, 34. The accused in this case have ran away and absconded, therefore for the investigation my self, HC Shankarbhai Veljibhai

Patel and PC Dilip Dangal Patil, are supposed to go for investigation to Mumbai and Bangalore, requested to kindly approve the same. And requested to authorise us for the same.

Date: 09/04/2003

sd/-

L/R Women's Cell

Office of the District

Superintendent office

District Valsad, Valsad.

Wireless Message

To: Shri L D Sosa,
Police Sub Inspector,
Women's Cell,
Valsad.

From: Police Superintendent, Valsad

No: RB/140, Investigation /100/2003

Date: 09/04/2003

Text:

In connection with Valsad town Police Station I, Crime Reg No. 119/2002, under I. P. C Sections 420, 421, 423, 467, 120(B), 34, permission is granted to proceed to Mumbai and Bangalore alongwith one Head Constable and one Police Constable for the investigation of the accused in the above mentioned case.

However, it should be noted that permission must be confirmed via phone before proceeding further.

"By Order"

Sd/-

A.H.... illegible

PSI Reader,

Police Superintendent,

Valsad

Date 01/06/2003

..... illegible

Police Superintendent Office

Valsad.

Date: 03.06.2003

To,

Shri JMFC Magistrate,

Valsad.

Subject: Request for issuance of transfer
warrant.

With respect, Jai Bharat. I, L D Sosa, Police
Sub Inspector from the Women's Cell/LCB,
Valsad, Police Superintendent Office, request
the following report:

It is to inform you that in connection with
Valsad City I Crime Reg No. 119/02, under I.
P. C Sections 120B, 420, 421, 422, 423, 406,
409, 34, the absconding accused Nand kishore
Shankarlal Trivedi, residing at Devbhoomi,
second floor, No. 32, Garudar Mahollo Street,
Chira Bazaar, near Mithibai College, 6 K.D.
Road, Pushpak Apartment, Juhu, Mumbai, has
surrendered before Judicial Magistrate in the
Nagpur Court.

He was arrested under Ganesh Peth Police Station's I Crime Registration No 101/02 under I. P. C Sections 406, 409, 469, 471, 120B, 34. Subsequently, he was transferred to the LCB Wardha, Maharashtra, through transfer warrant. Therefore, it is requested to issue a transfer warrant to bring the accused back in connection with this case. This fact has been confirmed by telephone.

Date: 03/06/03

sd/-

Police Sub Insp

Women' cell,

Valsad.

5062G NO. LDS/home trade

/N.S/Vasi/100/03

Office of Police Superintendent,

Valsad.

Date: 02/03/06/2003

To,

Shri Police Inspector,

Valsad City.

Subject: To provide on ASI/HC for Submission
of transfer warrant.

With respect, Jai Bharat. L D Sosa, Police Sub
Inspector, Office of the Police Superintendent
Women's cell / LCB Police request report is as
follows:

This is to inform you that in connection with
Valsad City I, Crime Reg No. 119/02, under I.
P. C Sections 420, 421, 422, 120B, 409, 34, the
absconded accused Nand kishore Shankarlal
Trivedi, residing in Mumbai, has appeared
before Nagpur Court. Currently, the accused has
been taken by the LCB Wardha authorities.

The original transfer warrant is enclosed herewith. It needs to be submitted to the Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Nagpur. We need to inquire when the Nagpur First Court will hand over the accused to us. And also You should collect the court's phone number to facilitate the process of bringing the accused back successfully.

The reminder for the warrant and the list to be submitted to the Nagpur Court is also enclosed herewith. Please ensure that an ASI or Head Constable is sent to Nagpur to submit the warrant.

Date: 02/06/03

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Women's Cell/ LCB

Valsad.

5062G NO. LDS/home trade

/N.S/Vasi/100/03

Office of Police Superintendent,

Valsad.

Date: 02/03/06/2003

To,

Shri Police Inspector,

Valsad City.

Subject: To provide on ASI/HC for Submission
of transfer warrant.

With respect, Jai Bharat. L D Sosa, Police Sub
Inspector, Office of the Police Superintendent
Women's cell / LCB Police request report is as
follows:

This is to inform you that in connection with
Valsad City I, Crime Reg No. 119/02, under I.
P. C Sections 420, 421, 422, 120B, 409, 34, the
absconded accused Nand kishore Shankarlal
Trivedi, residing in Mumbai, has appeared
before Nagpur Court. Currently, the accused has
been taken by the LCB Wardha authorities.

The original transfer warrant is enclosed herewith. It needs to be submitted to the Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Nagpur. We need to inquire when the Nagpur First Court will hand over the accused to us. And also You should collect the court's phone number to facilitate the process of bringing the accused back successfully.

The reminder for the warrant and the list to be submitted to the Nagpur Court is also enclosed herewith. Please ensure that an ASI or Head Constable is sent to Nagpur to submit the warrant.

Date: 02/06/03

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Women's Cell/ LCB

Valsad.

5062G NO. LDS/home
trade/N.S/Vasi/100/03

Office of Police Superintendent,
Valsad.

Date: 11/06/2003

To,
Shri Police Inspector,
Valsad District, Valsad.

Subject: Regarding granting permission to bring
the accused from Nagpur through
transfer warrant.

With respect, Jai Bharat. A request report of
L D Sosa, P.S.I, Women's cell / LCB, district
Police Superintendent Office, Valsad, is as
follows:

This is to inform you that in connection with
Valsad City I, Crime Reg No. 119/02, under I.
P. C Sections 420, 421, 422, 120(B), 409, 34,
the accused Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi,
residing in Mumbai, has appeared in Nagpur

Court. So the transfer warrant from the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Valsad Court, is deposited in Nagpur Court.

The original transfer warrant is enclosed herewith. This warrant is to be submitted to the Judicial Magistrate, First Court, Nagpur. It is necessary to inquire when the Nagpur First Court will hand over the accused to us. Also, collect the court's phone number to ensure successful retrieval of the accused.

The warrant, along with the necessary documents, is attached herewith. Please ensure that an ASI or two Head Constable is sent to Nagpur to submit the warrant.

Date: 11/06/03

Sd/-

Police Inspector

Woman's cell/LCB

Police Inspector's office,
Valsad.

Date: 11.06.2003

To,
The Superintendent of Police,
Valsad District, Valsad

Subject: Request for permission to proceed to
Nagpur to escort an accused under
transfer warrant

Respected Sir,

With due respect, I, L D Sosa, Sub-Inspector,
Women's Cell/LCB, Office of the Superintendent
of Police, Valsad, am submitting the following
report for your kind consideration:

I would like to bring to your notice that in
reference to Valsad City Police Station I,
Crime Report No. 119/02 under sections 420,
421, 422, 423, 120B, 24, and 467 of the I. P.
C, the accused Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi,
resident of Mumbai, is currently present before
the court in Nagpur. In this case, a transfer
warrant has been issued from the court of
Valsad and submitted to the court in Nagpur.

The accused has been summoned to appear before the Nagpur court on 16/06/03. Therefore, I kindly request permission for one ASI and two constables from Valsad City to proceed to Nagpur to escort the accused back to Valsad. I also request approval for the journey to Nagpur for this purpose.

Date: 11/06/03

Sd/-

Police Sub-Inspector

Women's cell/LCB

Office of the

Superintendent of Police,

Valsad

V.H.F

To,

Police Inspector,

Valsad Town.

For information: Investigating Officer

L D Sosa, PSI

Sender: Dispol, Valsad

No. RB/140/ Investigation Approval 30/03

dated 11/06/03

This is to inform you that in connection with Valsad City Police Station I-119/02 under sections 420, 421, and 467 of the I. P. C, the accused Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi, resident of Mumbai, has appeared before the Nagpur court.

I have obtained a transfer warrant from the Valsad court and sent it via Constable Rajendra to the First Class Court in Nagpur for submission. The Honourable court has instructed that the aforementioned accused be brought in on 16/06/03.

Therefore, I kindly request approval for one Police Sub-Inspector and two Police Constables from our post to proceed to Nagpur on 14/06/03 to escort the accused back to Valsad for further proceedings.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

"By Order"

sd/-

For, Dispol, Valsad

5062G NO. LDS/home
trade/N.S/Vasi/100/03

Office of Police Superintendent,
Valsad.

Date: 11/06/2003

To,
The Police Inspector,
Valsad City.

Subject: Regarding bringing back the accused
under the transfer warrant.

Respected Sir,
With due respect, I, L D Sosa, Sub-Inspector,
Women's Cell/LCB, Office of the Superintendent
of Police, Valsad, wish to bring to your
attention the following request report
regarding the aforementioned subject.

In connection with Valsad City Police Station
I Crime Reg No. 119/03 under sections 420, 421,
and 467 of the I. P. C, the accused Nand kishore
Shankarlal Trivedi, resident of Mumbai, is

currently present before the First Class Court in Nagpur. He is to be apprehended, and the transfer warrant must be submitted to the aforementioned court by Constable Rajendra Bhai and Yashwant Bhai on 6/6/03. They have been informed to bring him on the upcoming date of 16/6/03.

However, I need to notify you that I will be on duty in Bangalore on the next date of 15/6/03. Therefore, I request that an order be issued to send PSI to accompany the police constables for this task. The approval number is R B 140, Investigation Approval 30/03, which has been duly processed.

For your reference, I am attaching a photocopy of the transfer warrant along with this report.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

A copy is attached herewith.

Therefore, I kindly request you to instruct the concerned officer who will be going to the Valsad court to collect the accused under the transfer warrant to obtain the appropriate list for this matter.

Date: 11/06/03

Sd/-

Police Inspector,

Women's cell/LCB

Police Inspector

Office, Valsad.

Malti Chandubhai Patil

24/4/2001

G. Pimaraju

B. No. 2494

Bangalore Municipal Corporation

Deputy Commissioner

Ligraj Durch

S.N. Pura

Yashwant Pura RTO Office

Revenue Office, Municipal Corporation

Gunjal

S N Pura

Yashwant Pura, RTO Office,

Revenue Office, Mahanagar,

Gunjal

Pamki

ARO

Commissioner Road.

Thimuya Road,

Ward No 99,

East Range Office, Commissioner Road,

Bangalore-52

Devendra Krupa,

Revenue Officer

Date: illegible

My name is Leelaben, wife of Manoj alias Mike Ambalal Shah age 58. I reside at 10 Cross Road 260, Raj Mahal Vilas, Extension Sada, Shivnagar, Bangalore 80. My hometown is Talaja, District Bhavnagar.

I would like to state that I live at the address mentioned above with my family. My husband, Manoj Shah, and I reside there together. We have two children: a son, Abhi, who is currently studying aircraft engineering in America and is 25 years old, and a daughter, Sami, who is 30 years old and got married two years ago to an American citizen in New York.

Although our roots are in Talaja, there are no family members living there. Additionally, we have no relatives living in Bardoli, which is near our residence. Manoj Shahu's uncle, Jaswant Bhai Mehta, and Anant Mehta reside behind Someshwar Dakshin, while Anant Mehta is connected to Sanjay Mehta, who lives in

Ashwamedh Apartments, Parle Point, 3rd floor.
Apart from this, we have no close relatives.

My husband, Manoj, has been involved in the Information Technology consulting business in Bangalore for the past 30 years. Previously, he worked in Mumbai for 14 years before moving here 14 years ago. He is currently associated with MS Enterprises, located at 26 S 239 Shankari Road, Bangalore 80. The office phone number is 088-3613242, and the fax number is 3613842. The house number is also the same as the one mentioned above, which we have been residing in for about four years, and the contact number is 088-3611005.

Today, I would like to inform you that my husband, Manoj Ambalal Shah, previously resided in Bombay and was in business. We have been living in Bangalore for the last 14 years, and he has a total of 24 years of work experience, including the last eight years operating HS Enterprises. He left for Mumbai on the morning of 17/6/03 on a flight to Bombay at 6:10 AM and

is scheduled to fly to London on a flight tonight at 2 AM for work with different companies. He is expected to return to Bangalore, India, on July 8, 2003.

I am a non-executive director at Valsad Mall, and my husband holds the position of a technical advisor. I am aware of this information, and there is nothing else I wish to add.

This is my statement, and I affirm that it is true and accurate as written.

In person,

Sd/-

Police Sub-Inspector

Women's cell/LCB

Police officer's office, Valsad

Fax Message

To

Police inspector, dist. Umra, surat city.

From

Superintendent of police, dist. Valsad.

Valsad city I 119/02 I. P. C section 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 120B, 34 papers these are the names of the accused below. In which a crime has been registered against the following accused and this type of crime has been registered in your police station. So when did you arrest the accused mentioned below? What is its role in home trade as well? What is the evidence against it as well? Briefly state it immediately.

- (1) HITEN BHUPENDRABHAI SHAH - ADD. GANDHI NIVAS, SANTACRUZ, MUMBAI.
- (2) SHILPA HITEN SHAH- ADD. GANDHI NIVAS, SANTACRUZ, MUMBAI.
- (3) HIREN UDAYBHAI GADA - ADD. PORBANDAR ESTATE MRU VILLAGE

- (4) KETAN RAMESHBHAI MARAKIYA - ADD. 191,
GITANJALI APARTMENT, PANT NAGAR, MUMBAI
55
- (5) SASHANK GOPAL RANADE - ADD. MUMBAI,
DADAR, S.K. BELROAD
- (6) VIJAY HIMMATLAL MODI - ADD. A/203
AMINA CO. OP. HO. HALAPVADI MUMBAI
- (7) SALIL DINKARLAL GANDHI - ADD.
11/13 GOLD COIN CO.OP. SOC. TADDEV
MUMBAI
- (8) SHRI JAGRUTIBEN WIFE OF KETANBHAI
KANTILAL SHETH - ADD. 193, LALIT KUTIR
CHS, 3RD FLOOR, GULMOHAR CROSS ROAD,
MUMBAI.
- (9) KUMARI KANAN MEVAVADA - ADD. JAYANT
SAHEB, PANCHAY VILLAGE VANKHEDE STADIUM,
MARINDRIVE, MUMBAI
- (10) NIRAJ AGIDHAR SURTI - ADD.
PRITAMNAGAR, BHARUCH.
- (11) SHRIMATI KRUTI NIRAJ AMIGHAR - ADD.
AGEN
- (12) ALAN JEMS MILAN - ADD. 7/5
CASTRO STREET, USA 94041 USA

- (13) RASAL LANKEM ETC.- ADD. TIMBER HILL
TERAGE AYAN FIELD USA 1940 USA
- (14) MAIK AKA MANOJ AMBALAL - ADD.
BELARI ROAD CHOKSTATION BANGLURU -80
- (15) DHANANJAY HARIRAM AGRAWAL - ADD.
MUMBAI AS BELONGS TO KOLCATT

Please send the facts of the above accused
immediately.

DATE : 23/06/03

- (16) KETAN KANTILAL SHETH (SAHU) - ADD.
MUMBAI
- (17) SANJAY HARIRAM AGRAWAL - ADD.
VASHI NEW MUMBAI
- (18) SUBHODH CHAD DALAL BHANDARI - ADD.
MUMBAI
- (19) NAND KISHORE SANKARLAL TRIVEDI -
ADD. MUMBAI

NO. 16 TO 18 ABOVE IS SUSPENDED. AND
NUMBER 19 IS UP FOR GRABS. SO SEND THE
FACTS.

DATE: 23/06/03

My name is Hiren Udaybhai Gada by caste I am jain. My age is 32. Occupation : job residence - porbandar estate, 141B, Dr. Mashkare Hanuman road, Madgaon, Mumbai - 10.

By asking in person, I write that I live with my family and my parents at the place shown above. Currently working as vice president in Shemaroo video private limited. For the last six months I am alone and my younger sister is kranti, who is studying in MMS. I got married in 1985 to Madhuri, daughter of Dineshbhai Tokarshibhai Veera, Dadar. And I have one child, a daughter, who is three and a half years old. And my father Udaybhai G.F.A.H. who holds a degree in Ayurveda. And has been practicing at Madgaon in Mumbai for the past 35 years, and living at the above address, my study is B.com, MMS.

In the year 1997 Feb Applied to Lloyd through mass placement consultant, and I was appointed as senior manager at Lloyd broker. Lloyd remained a company till august 98, then the same company was sold by Mukesh Gupta of Lloyd and taken over by Sanjay Agrawal, after which the company was renamed euro Asian security limited. I got the position of vice president in euro-asian which remained till December 1999, in which I started working on the project of providing financial services through information technology and internet, and I was in this department, at that time there was Mumbai office in Nariman point. After that in December 1999 Euro Asian edge company was renamed home trade limited with its registered office in Pune. The work office in Vasi, Navi Mumbai started in December 1999, and in April 2000 got the position of senior vice president, and my boss was Sanjay Aggarwal and I had to do what he said in which my department was retail financial services which included internet and website collects information on it and puts it into the website. Apart from

this, they used to provide information on retail sales of equity shares to the common man. The computer system and technology support was sufficient in which the information coming from 18 different organizations was calculated and well visible to the organizer and how a customer wanted to get information immediately, he worked by placing it on the computer. A facility has been implemented to get a proper idea if any inquiry comes in the call center.

There were regional managers and their sales team across the country, we were training them on how to increase the number of customers. Apart from this, other works related to the project have been done as above to increase sales. All these works are done at the behest of our owner Sanjay Aggarwal and according to his convenience. Also the bond and debt security as well as check contract note and etc. Are not signed at any place. And I was not an authorized signatory just a computer information technology and internet project employee and I have never been to Valsad road

li.li. Shroff Valsad people's operative bank limited and I don't know why my name is in the complaint but my designation is in the website on the internet so by getting my name from the internet website it is wrongly written in the F. I. R. from the First complaint. And secondly, I was not in the debt market department and I do not know its administration and I do not know anything about securities trading and I was not a director in the company and I was not in a responsible position. Used to collect internet and website information and deliver it to customers. On seeing the contract note and check and bill there delivery paper bearing the signatures of Subodh Bhandari, Sanjay Aggarwal and ns Trivedi and I am not involved in the security scam and I have been called by D.Y.S.P. C. I. D Kishor Bele in Nagpur in a home trade case who has questioned me. I have told all the facts truthfully and let go. And in Mumbai also in case of home trade, Deshpande and Belle Saheb C. I. D officer called me to old custom house and took my statement and did not arrest me, and SEBI also

called me but I called them about securities and shares but I don't know anything. So I was let go after questioning coz my department was different. Which was done in the wholesale debt market department in security, bond, contract note etc work in that department. Main Sanjay Aggarwal and Nand kishore Trivedi and Ketan Rade and Kanan Mewawala, Subodh Bhandari and main security buy-sell power in that department, including Shashank Gopal Ranade and Vijay Himmatlal Modi, Salil Dinkarlal Gandhi and Alan James Mc Crillan who lives in America and Rusal Banktham etc. Who lives in America and Mike aka Manoj Ambalal shah who lives in Bangalore and Dhananjay Hariram Agarwal, the above men held the position of non-executive director in home trade. Sanjay Hariram Aggarwal who is the chairman and chief executive officer and Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi who is the executive director and company secretary in home trade and Ketan Kantilal Sheth who is the working director who is knowledgeable about security. Then there was Subodh Bhandari who held the important position of senior vice

president authorizing secretary, Kanan Mewawala who held the position of manager in the security department, who was responsible for the security buy-sell and Ketan Rameshbhai Maskaria who served as a junior officer in the security department and who was working under Kanan Mewawala and that four departments I don't have much idea about his working method.

And Hiten Bhupendra Shah and Shilpa Hiten Shah do not hold the position of director, non-executive director in home trade and neither have seen nor know these employees of home trade. I don't know where he lives in Mumbai.

Jagruti Ketan Sheth who is the wife of Ketan Sheth who was the working director of home trade but Jagrutiben who did not have any position in any home trade but in a program organized by our home trade company she joined the program with Ketan Sheth as his wife in 2002. Met and interviewed in this program during the year and did not have any dealings

with Shilpa ben and Jagrutiben and Hiten Bhupendra Shah and Niraj Surti who was working in investment and security and no idea why Sanjay Aggarwal, Nand kishore Trivedi, Subodh Bhandari and Kanan Mewawala and Ketan Sheth were meeting in home trade. I don't know that Ketan Mashkariya is not met and it is assumed that he will be met in the matter of security, which is a different department from me so I have no idea, nor have I heard the name of Kriti Niraj Surti and she has no position in home trade.

Today I have seen the xerox document of home trade and I am writing that in the letter also there is a transaction with Valsad bank regarding taking securities, Kanan Mewawala and Subodh Bhandari and Ketan Mashkaria there in the resolution dated 16/3/02 deal to buy security and deal to sell. Nand kishore Trivedi's signature on it which I recognize by looking at it. Home trade check no. 984084 to 984087 issued with the signature of Sanjay Agarwal. The contract note bears the authorized signature of Subodh Bhandari and the

counter signature is that of Ketan Mashkariya and the other contract note also bears the counter signature of Kanan Mewawala which I know. The contract note bears the signature of Sanjay Agarwal which has been identified. Federal bank current account opening form with passport size photograph and signatures of Kanan Sheth, Sanjay Aggarwal and Nand kishore Trivedi which I recognize and acknowledge.

REGARDS,

Police Sub. Inspector (Hiren U. Gada)

Office of the police Superintendent
Valsad.

Shri Hirenbbhai Udaybbhai Gada
Office of the police Superintendent
ADD. Mumbai
Valsad.

Date : 24/06/03

(1) Therefore you mean Hiren Udaybhai Gada resident of Porbandar, estate 141B, Dr Maskaredas Road Masgaon, Mumbai - 10 is hereby notified that against you Valsad city police station I crime registration no. 119/02 I. P. C section 420, 421, 422, 406, 409 , 467 in the case of 120B Chetanbhai, Manager of Valsad Bank filed the complaint, he was working as senior Vice President in Home Trade, his name was already written as an accused.

(2) But till date no document, evidence against you in the above investigation and (1) Ketan Kantilal Sheth and (2) Sanjay Hari Ram Aggarwal (3) Subodh Bhandari no evidence against you.

(3) But the investigation of this home trade is still going on and the second accused is yet to be arrested, if any evidence is found against you in the investigation (interogation) then when the police officer, the investigating officer calls you, you must remain present on issuance of the said summons and if you have

create any obstruction, Then appropriate action
will be taken which should be noted.

Phone: 02223716527

Date: 24.06.2003

"By oral instruction of the Police Officer"

Sd/-

(Hiren U. Gada)

Police sub. Inspector

Office of the police Superintendent

Valsad.

Sd/-

Police Inspector

Office of the police Superintendent

Valsad.

To,
Police Inspector,
Valsad City Police Station.

It is the request report of the PSI L D
Sosa, office of the police superintendent,

Regarding the above subject, it is to be
informed that in District City I Crime Register
119/02, under sections I. P. C 406, 409, 120B,
420, 422, 423, 467, 34, the accused Ketanbhai
Rameshbhai Mashkariya, Address: Mumbai 191, B
5313, Gitanjali Apartment, Pant nagar,
Ghatkopar, East Mumbai, has been lawfully
arrested today, on 24/06/03 at 17:10 hours. The
arrest was informed to his father Rameshbhai
Mansukhbhai Mashkariya, Address: same as above,
in person, and his signature was obtained. It
is requested that a note regarding the arrest
of the accused be made in the station diary,

and the register form is attached herewith. It is also requested to keep the accused in custody at your police station.

Date: 24/06/03

Sd/-

PSI

Valsad Po. St.

Office of police Superintendent

Date: 24/06/03

My name is Ketan Rameshbhai Mashkariya, cast: Jain Vaniya, Age: 30, occupation: Job, Address: Sudamada, District: Surendranagar, current address: 5313 Gitanjali Apartment, Pant nagar, Ghatkopar East Mumbai 400075.

I am writing this statement upon being asked in person, stating that I am currently living in Mumbai with my parents and wife. At present, I am employed as a Chartered Accountant at a small factory named Samkit Advertising, owned by my relative Ketan Shah, located near Princess Street in Mumbai.

My father is Rameshbhai Mansukhlal Maskariya, who is currently living a retired life. My mother, Miraben Rameshbhai Mansukhlal Maskariya, takes care of the household. We all live together. My parents have two children, and I am the eldest. I was born in 1972. My younger sister's name is Naisargi, and she has completed her M.A. She is currently staying at home. I also have uncles. The eldest is Himmatlal Mansukhlal, followed by Dilipbhai Mansukhlal, Chandrakantbhai Mansukhlal, and

Jayeshbhai Mansukhlal. All of them are settled in Ghatkopar, Mumbai, with their families, and they reside there.

I also have two maternal uncles. The elder is Satishbhai Kantilal Mehta, and the younger is Rohitbhai Kantilal Mehta. They live in our hometown, Ahmedabad, where they are employed.

I have two paternal aunts. The eldest is Vimalaben, who is still alive and resides in Ahmedabad, while the younger, Jayaben, passed away ten years ago. I also have two paternal uncles, the eldest being Tarunbhai, who has settled in Ahmedabad.

I was born in Ahmedabad. After my birth, my parents settled in Ghatkopar, Mumbai, for business purposes, so I lived with them. I completed my B.Com at Mumbai University. My First job was at a broker F. I. R.m in Mumbai Court, where I worked as an Assistant Settlement for two to three years. I finished my B.Com in 1992 and continued working until 1995.

In 1995, I worked as an Assistant Settlement Manager at Loyadas Brokerage

Limited, located at Nariman Point, Bombay, which dealt with the buying and selling of shares. The CEO was Sanjay Agrawal, under whom I worked. At that time, Nand kishore Trivedi was also with the same company. The company started operating under the name Euro Ocean Security Limited in 1995. Later, in 1999-2000, the aforementioned companies changed their name to Home Trade Limited. Thus, from 1995 until now, I have been working in the Assistant Settlement position at this company. However, in 2000, I was promoted to Officer in the Money Market position. The Home Trade company had its full branch at Nariman Point, Bombay, and then shifted to Vashi, Bombay. After the shift, I got to know Ketan Kantilal Sheth, and Kanak Mevawala also joined the company around that time, which is when I became acquainted with them. During that time, Suvada Bhandari also joined the company, and I became familiar with him as well. Other people also joined the company when it started, but I do not know them, and I am unaware of their names or addresses.

I have been serving in this company since 1995, and it has been transferred under various names. Since then, I am unaware of what activities the company is currently involved in. However, in 2000, the company changed its name to Home Trade and shifted its location to Vashi, Mumbai. At that time, I was appointed as a Money Market Officer by Ketan Sheth, Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi, Kanak Mevawala, and Subodh Bhandari, and I took on my responsibilities. During that time, Ketan Sheth served as the Chief Director, Subodh Bhandari as the Director, and Nand kishore Trivedi as the Executive Director and Secretary. Together, they started transactions related to government securities with Peoples Bank in Valsad, which continued late into the night. I will provide more details tomorrow.

This is my facts.

In presence

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad City police station

Office of the Police Superintendent,

Valsad

Date: 24/06/03

My name is Ketan Rameshbhai Mashkariya, age: 30, occupation: Job, Address: village: Sadamada, District: Surendranagar, current address: 191, Block No. 5313, Gitanjali Apartment, Pant nagar, Ghatkopar, East, Mumbai 400075.

The response I provided on 24/06/03 was accurate and correct. Today, upon further questioning, I am providing additional details: "I joined Home Trade Company in December 1999, where my position was as an Officer in Money Market Operations. My starting monthly salary was ₹1,000, which was later increased to ₹12,000 in March-April 2001. Subsequently, in November 2001, my salary was raised to ₹25,000. In April 2002, after reading in the newspaper about the Home Trade Company's collapse, the company shut down. We were not paid for the last two months of work, and the First case was filed in Nagpur with the C. I. D. After that, we have no knowledge of where the Chairmen of 'Home Trade' went.

In "Home Trade," the main person was Sanjay Agrawal, who held the position of CEO, meaning Chief Executive Officer. Second in command was Ketan Kantilal Sheth, who was the working Director of the company, and Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi, who served as the Executive Director and Secretary at Home Trade. After that, there was Subodh Bhandari, who was the Senior Vice President and Authorized Signatory, and Kanan Mevawala , who was the Manager of the Debt Market. I do not know Shashank Gopal Rande, nor do I know Vinay Himatlal Modi; I am unaware that he was a director. I also do not know Salil Dinkar Gandhi. I am unfamiliar with Mike alias Manoj Ambalal Shah from Bangalore, but he might have been a Non-Executive Director. I do not know Hiten Bhupendra Shah or Shilpa Hiten Shah, and I have never seen them in the company. I have neither heard of them holding positions as Directors or advisors, nor have I seen them in the company.

Also, Dhananjay Hariram Agrawal, who is the brother of Sanjay Agrawal, later came to

my knowledge that he is a director. This is something I learned afterward.

Hiren Gada held the position of Senior Vice President at Home Trade and was involved in Information Technology, primarily working on building websites on computers through the internet and providing better services to the public through technology. This was a separate department from the Debt Market Securities Department, and I am not in touch with anyone else who worked with him in Information Technology. Therefore, I do not know them, and Hiren Gada did not hold any position as an Authorized Signatory in the company. He did not have the authority to sign important documents, such as accounts or checks. Since it was a different department, he had no involvement with securities.

Jagruti Ketan Sheth, who is known as the wife of Ketan Sheth, did not hold any position in Home Trade, and I have never seen her in the company. She did not have any authority to sign checks or securities. However, after the Home Trade cases were filed, the CBI in Mumbai

summoned Kanan Mevawala, Jay Mehta, and their associates to the White House for questioning. I was also present there, and the CBI officers questioned me. It was during this time that I saw Jagruti, Ketan Sheth's wife, for the first time and learned about her. Apart from this, I had never seen Jagruti Ketan Sheth before.

I know Niraj Surti, and he worked as a broker for buying and selling securities at Home Trade. After Home Trade was registered, Niraj Surti frequently met with Sanjay Agrawal, Ketan Sheth, Nand kishore Trivedi, and Subodh Bhandari regarding securities matters. Niraj Surti came to me two or three times for contract notes concerning security contracts, and he also frequently met with Kanan Mevawala. Niraj Surti did not hold any official position at Home Trade, but he was a broker involved in buying and selling securities. His wife's name, as you mentioned, is Kruti. However, Niraj Surti did not come to Home Trade, and when I called his home in Bharuch, I learned that his wife's name is Kruti. I have never seen Kruti, and she did not hold any position at Home Trade.

In the Debt Market Department, important tasks related to securities, checks, and bonds were carried out. The manager of this department was Kanan Mevawala, and Jay Mehta was also a manager, while an individual named Arvindrai worked as a dealer. None of them had any signature authority. Arvindrai and Jay Mehta did not have the authority to counter-sign any contracts or other documents. However, Subodh Bhandari, Sanjay Agrawal, Ketan Sheth, and Nand kishore Trivedi had the main authority to sign important documents such as checks, contract notes, and other crucial documents. Sanjay Agrawal was in charge of equity shares, while Nand kishore Trivedi handled securities. Ketan Sheth also gave instructions regarding securities matters from time to time, based on which I counter-signed contract notes. Kanan Mevawala also counter-signed, and we would obtain the signatures of the higher authorities. After that, I would enter the counter-signatures into the Valsad People's Bank register, log them in the dispatch book, and send them by courier. In November-December

2001, I delivered contract notes to Valsad Bank and once obtained government securities from Chetan Desai, the Manager at Valsad People's Bank. I brought them to the Home Trade office and, following Kanan Mevawala's instructions, sent them to the respective parties.

That is my fact.

In person

Sd/-

PSI

Valsad city police station
Office of the police superintendent
Valsad

VHF Message

To all station officers like PI/CB, CPI,
Valsad and Dharampur

Inform police superintendent, Valsad and Vapi
division from L D Sosa, woman cell/LCB, office
of the police superintendent, Valsad, Date:
25/06/2003

In the case registered under Valsad City
Police Station I Crime Registration No. 119/02,
I. P. C Sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423,
467, 120B, 34, the accused Ketan Rameshbhai
Maskariya, aged 30, residing at 191, Block No.
5313, Geetanjali Apartments, Pant Nagar,
Ghatkopar East, Mumbai 400075, was arrested in
connection with this crime on 24/06/2003 at
17:10 hours. The accused was presented before
the court today, and the court granted remand
until 02/07/2003 at 04:30 PM at the Valsad
Judicial Magistrate's Court. If there is any
requirement regarding this criminal, it is
requested to contact the office of the
Superintendent of Police, Valsad.

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector
Office of the Woman cell/
LCB Police Superintendent
Valsad

To,
Police Station officer,
Valsad city police station.

Subject: In the case with Valsad City Police
Station I Crime Registration Number
119/02 under I. P. C Sections 406,
409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 120B,
and 34, the accused Ketan Rameshbhai
Maskariya, aged 30, residing in
Mumbai, is to be taken into
custody.

The statement of the L D Sosa, police sub
inspector, office of the police superintendent,
woman cell/LCB is that,

In the case with Valsad City Police Station
I Crime Registration Number 119/02 under I. P.
C Sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467,
120B, and 34, the accused Ketan Rameshbhai
Maskariya, aged 30, residing at 191, Block
Number: 5313, Geetanjali Apartment, Pant Nagar,
Ghatkopar East, Mumbai 400075, is to be
arrested in this criminal case. It is requested

that the accused be kept in custody at your police station until the judicial magistrate's court presentation today, dated 25/6/2003, from 16:30 hours, with a remand of 7 days granted until 02/07/2003 at 16:30 hours.

Date: 25/06/2003

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Office of the

District Police Superintendent

Woman cell/LCB

Valsad

To,

Medical officer

Valsad, civil Hospital

Subject: The matter of doing medical checkup

It is the request from L D Sosa, police sub inspector, office of the police superintendent, woman cell/LCB,

In the case with Valsad City Police Station I Crime Registration Number 119/02 under I. P. C Sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 120B, and 34, the accused Ketan Rameshbhai Maskariya, aged 30, residing at 191, Block Number: 5313, Geetanjali Apartment, Pant Nagar, Ghatkopar East, Mumbai 400075, was arrested on 24/6/2003 at 17:10 hours and is currently under a 7-day police remand. According to government regulations, he is to undergo a medical examination. The above-mentioned accused has been sent to you for medical examination along with police custody papers. Therefore, it is requested that the medical examination of the

accused be conducted and a proper certificate
be issued to you, as is customary.

Date: 26/06/03

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Office of the

District Police Superintendent

Women cell/LCB

Valsad

Fax Message

To,

Police Commissioner, Surat, Rajkot

Police Superintendent, Anand, Navsari, Rajkot

Rural

From: Police Superintendent, Valsad

Date: 25/06/03

In the case with Valsad City Police Station I Crime Registration Number 119/02 under I. P. C Sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 120B, and 34, the accused Ketan Rameshbhai Maskariya, aged 30, residing at 191, Block Number: 5313, Geetanjali Apartment, Pant Nagar, Ghatkopar East, Mumbai 400075, was lawfully arrested on 24/6/2003 at 17:10 hours. Today, he was presented before the Judicial First Class Magistrate's Court in Valsad, where a remand until 02/07/2003 at 16:30 hours was granted. If you require any information regarding this case, please feel free to contact us.

Sd/-

L D Sosa

Police Sub Inspector

Office of the

District Police

Superintendent

Woman cell/LCB

Valsad

Warrant after remand to custody

Police Inspector, Valsad city police Station

Date: 02/07/2003

04.30 PM

Chief officer and jailor of the Jail: Police
Inspector

An indictment has been filed in this court
accusing Ketanbhai Rameshbhai Mashkariya,
under section 406, 402, 420 of the I. P. C of
committing the crime, and it is considered
necessary to postpone the investigation of this
case until Wednesday, June 2, 2003.

Therefore, it has been ordered that the
said accused should be taken into your custody,
and on the aforementioned date, he must be
presented before this court at 4:30 PM.

Sd/-

Principal Magistrate

Rural court, Valsad

Outward No.:

LD/vashi/remand/tapas/100/03

Office of the Police Superintendent,
Valsad

Date: 26/06/2003

To,

Police Superintendent

Valsad.

Subject: Regarding the Granting of Permission
to Go for Investigation.

It is the request report from L D Sosa,
police sub inspector, office of the police
superintendent, woman cell/LCB.

In the case with Valsad City Police Station
I Crime Registration Number 119/02 under I. P.
C Sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467,
120B, and 34, the accused Ketan Rameshbhai
Maskariya, aged 30, residing at 191, Block
Number: 5313, Geetanjali Apartment, Pant Nagar,
Ghatkopar East, Mumbai 400075, was arrested on

24/6/2003 at 17:10 hours and remanded to custody until 25/6/2003 at 16:30 hours. As it is necessary to investigate the securities taken to Mumbai from here and to search for the absconding accused, permission is requested to proceed to Mumbai for the investigation. Police Constable Sanjay Vishwanath Bakal, Badge Number 1052, will accompany me for the investigation. Permission for this is kindly requested.

Sd/-

L D Sosa

Police Sub Inspector

Office of the

District Police Superintendent

Woman cell/LCB,

Valsad

VHF

Mr. L D Sosa, Police Sub Inspector, Valsad

In the case with Valsad City Police Station
I Crime Registration Number 119/02 under I. P.
C Sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467,
120B, and 34, permission is granted for the
accused to be taken to Mumbai for
investigation, accompanied by Police Constable
Sanjay Vishwanath Bakal, Badge Number 1052.

By order

Sd/-

Dispol Valsad

Date: 26/06/2003

My name is Ketan Rameshbhai Mashkariya,
cast: Jain Vaniya, Age: 30, occupation: Job,
Address: 191, block No. 5313, Gitanjali
Apartment, Pant nagar, Ghatkopar, East, Mumbai
400075, Maharashtra

I write to confirm that I reside at the
above address.

On 25/6/2013, the statement was recorded,
which is true and accurate. Today, in response
to your further inquiries, I write to confirm
that I worked as an officer in the debt market
at Home Trade, where I was responsible for
tasks related to security contracts, among
other duties. My supervisors, Kanan Mevawala,
followed by Subodh Bhandari, Nand kishore
Shankarlal Trivedi, and Ketan Sheth, would
occasionally provide instructions. As a result,
I wrote a letter addressed to Valsad Bank on
20/7/2001 to Chetan Desai, which was a
conversion letter. In this letter, I mentioned
@ 10.77% GOI 2020 face value of five crores and
(2) 10.25% GOI 2021 face value of six crores
fifty lakhs, totaling eleven crores fifty lakhs

in securities, SGL (Subsidized General Ledger). We wrote to Valsad Bank for a physical certificate. This letter contains the authorized signature of Subodh Bhandari and was prepared based on the instructions of Kanan Mevawala, to which I have counter-signed.

On 5/9/2001, there is a delivery letter containing my signature, which was sent based on the instructions of Kanan Mevawala.

On 16/3/2002, N.S. Trivedi wrote a letter to Valsad Bank regarding the securities to be taken, which bears the signature of M.S. Trivedi.

On 18/3/2002, a letter was written stating that the delivery is pending, which I will provide in the SGEL. This letter also bears the signature of N.S. Trivedi.

Contracts numbered 6847, 6851, 6853, 6577, and 6855 bear the signatures of Subodh Bhandari and Sanjay Agarwal, with my counter-signature included. Additionally, the assessment letter dated 6/11/01 has the signature of Subodh Bhandari, along with my counter-signature. Moreover, the authority letter dated 25/1/2002

bears the counter-signature of Kanan Mevawala and the authority signature of Sanjay Agarwal, on which my signature is also present. This was submitted on the dates of 25 and 26/1/2002.

1	G O I	12.10%	2008	One crore
2	G O I	10.70%	2020	Two Crore
3	G O I	10.25%	2021	One and half crore
4	G O I	10.50%	2014	Two crore
5	G O I	10.70%	2020	Three crore

A total of nine and a half crores in securities, with physical certificates as follows: AD-13, which is worth one crore; AD-168, which is worth fifty lakhs; AD-169, which is worth fifty lakhs; AD-170, which is worth fifty lakhs; AD-171, which is worth fifty lakhs; AD-6, which is worth fifty lakhs; AD-7, which is worth fifty lakhs; AD-8, which is worth fifty lakhs; and AD-308, which is worth five crores, were all in the name of Valsad Peoples Bank. I personally collected these from Valsad, handed them over to Kanan Mevawala, and, as per his instructions, delivered them to various parties, which is recorded in the

income register. The Home Trade office located in Vashi was sealed by the Nagpur C. I. D, and a Xerox copy of this information can be obtained from Police Sub-Inspector Shri Khedkar at the police station. I did not have any authority to withdraw money from the bank or to sign on behalf of anyone. I have not received any money apart from my salary. Regarding this money, I have no knowledge. Nand kishore, Sanjay Agarwal, and Kirtan Sheth Bhandari managed the entire financial transactions; I was just a minor employee.

This is my fact.

Sd/-

L D Sosa

Police Sub Inspector

Office of the

District Police Superintendent

Woman cell/LCB

Valsad

Date: 27/06/2003

My name is Dinkarlal Modilal Gandhi, Age: 70, occupation: retired, Address: 13A/2, Gold Coin Housing Society, Near Takdev Road cross road, Mumbai 400034.

I write to confirm that, I live at the address mentioned above with my family, and I have two children: Salil, the elder son, who works as a CA, and Sumit, who is in hardware. I am living a retired life, and my home phone number is 0224973379.

Today, in response to your inquiry about my son Salil, I would like to clarify that he is my son and practices as a CA. He was a director at Home Trade, but he has never been involved with Home Trade in person or attended any meetings. Currently, there is an ongoing investigation into Home Trade, and my son Salil has gone to Kolkata and Nagpur to give his statement and he will come so I will ask him to go to Valsad which is not in Mumbai now.

This is my fact.

Sd/-

L D Sosa

Police Sub Inspector
Office of the
District Police Superintendent
Woman cell/LCB
Valsad

Date: 27/06/2003

My name is Shashank Gopal Rande, Age: 42,
occupation: Business, Address: 3/1,
Radhakrishna Nivas Ground floor, Dagdi Wadi,
Mumbai 400028.

I write to confirm that I live at the
address mentioned above with my family. I
practice at Surya, and my home phone number is
24374239.

Today, you inquired about my practice, and
I would like to inform you that my friend Apurv
Sanghvi mentioned that Nand kishore Trivedi has
a company, which is a good group looking for a
professional CA. Therefore, since I had no
work, I agreed to join as a director with the
intention of getting a job in this company, and
I have been associated as a director since
2001. I have never been to this Home Trade
company, and I have not met any of Nand kishore
Trivedi's other associates. I have never
attended any board meetings, nor have I signed
any documents. Since I have had kidney failure
since 1999, I have a degree and do CA work from
home, so I joined Home Trade with the hope of

finding work at home due to my illness. I do not know anyone else from Home Trade, such as Sanjay Agarwal, Ketan Sheth, or Subodh Bhandari, but I know Salil Dinkar Ray Gandhi, who is my friend and practices CA. For the last three and a half years, I have suffered from kidney failure, which has caused my abdomen to swell, and I have lost strength in my hands and feet, making long journeys difficult for me. My treatment has been ongoing for the last three years under Dr. B.R. Rameshraw, and my kidneys failed on 05/12/1999. Long journeys and mental stress could lead to any adverse health condition for me. I can suffer from nausea or a decline in health at any time. My treatment is ongoing at S.L. Raheja Hospital in Mumbai, where I have to undergo dialysis checks twice a week for the past three and a half years. I cannot afford the expenses, so I have been seeking help from trustees for my treatment. I have a certificate from Raheja Hospital in Mumbai dated 20/6/2003, and I am presenting the bill for treatment received today. Long journeys are not feasible for me; I remain at

(100)

home and do not go outside. Although you have asked me to come to Valsad, I cannot undertake long journeys, and I am presenting the original certificate. I cannot predict what might happen at any time, and my statement has been recorded in presence of my wife.

This is my fact.

Sd/-

L D Sosa

Police Sub Inspector

Office of the

District Police Superintendent

Woman cell/LCB

Valsad

Date: 27/06/03

My name is Chandrakala, W/O Shashank Rande, age: 37, occupation: job, Address: 3/1, Radhakrishna Nivas, Ground floor, Dagdi wadi, Mumbai 400028

I am writing upon being asked in person that I live at the above-mentioned address with my family and work as a contractor with the Municipal Corporation. My husband, Shashank, practices as a Chartered Accountant, and he works from home.

Today, when you, Sir, inquired about my husband, Shashank Gopal Rande, I am informing you that my husband has been practicing as a CA for the past 15 years and was associated with Home Trade as a non-executive director. However, he was connected with them in the hope of getting some work but has never actually visited Home Trade. My husband has had only one kidney since birth, and about three and a half years ago, he experienced pain in that kidney. After taking painkillers, the only kidney he had failed. For the last three and a half years, he has been on dialysis and requires dialysis

(111)

twice a week. He is under the care of Dr. B. R. Rameshrav, a nephrologist, and is being treated at the specialized S.L. Raheja Hospital. Upon reviewing his certificate, it shows that his kidney failed on 05/12/1999, and he has been receiving treatment under my supervision twice a week. The doctor has provided a certificate advising that he should avoid long travel and mental stress, and the bill for the treatment has been submitted as well. Looking at this, it is evident that Shashank Gopal Rande is present but unable to answer any inquiries. Even if any inquiry is conducted, it can be done in my presence, and the police are questioning him under my supervision.

This is my fact.

Sd/-

L D Sosa

Police Sub Inspector

Office of the

District Police Superintendent

Woman cell/LCB

Valsad

Date: 27/06/03

My name is Ketan Rameshbhai Mashkariya, address: 191, blok No. 5313, Gitanjali Apartment, Pant Nagar, Ghatkopar, East, Mumbai 400075.

Today, in person, you, Sir, presented me before the Honourable Court, and my police remand has been granted until 2/7/2003. During the remand, statements related to this criminal case were recorded on 24/6/2003, 25/6/2003, and 26/6/2003, which I read and listened to, and they are accurate. Furthermore, I would like to mention that I have been working at Bombay Vashi Home Trade Limited Company since Mukesh Gupta was running LLloyd Brokerage Company, where my position was Assistant Settlement. My duties included verifying shares that came under my responsibility. After that, when the company was sold, it was run under the name Euro Asian Securities, managed by Sanjay Agrawal. I do not know if Ketan Sheth worked there; prior to this, I had not seen Ketan Sheth, and Nand kishore Trivedi worked there at that time, along with Kumari Kanan Mevawala

(114)

and Subodh Bhandari, but I am unaware of their roles. After that, the Euro Asian Company operated near Mittal Court, Nariman Point. In the year 2000, Sanjay Agrawal registered Home Trade Limited, transferring it to Vashi, Mumbai, where I managed the debt market operations. My work involved preparing contract notes and creating computer sheets, with serial numbers according to the computer's serial number. Kumari Kanan Mevawala used to sign the counter for the aforementioned contract notes, based on which I prepared them. At that time, Subodh Bhandari, Ketan Sheth, and Nand kishore were not present, and I prepared the contract notes as per their instructions. Ketan Sheth, who worked as a share broker, was in contact with Home Trade, which also operated the Gilt Management Service and Gilt Investment Company. He frequently came to the company and was involved in the share market activities, which led to my acquaintance with him. Subsequently, Ketan Sheth was responsible for government securities and held a senior position at Home Trade.

On 18/3/2002, Nand kishore Trivedi, the Executive Director and Secretary, wrote a letter to Chetan Ramanbhai Desai, the Manager of Valsad Peoples Bank, mentioning that we would provide a converted form in SGL (Subsidiary General Ledger). The ₹9.5 crore physical certificate that I took at that time was duly maintained by me in Home Trade's inward-outward register. This register was seized by C. I. D Crime Economic Offense Wing's police sub-inspector Fit Khedkar, and he had taken my statement regarding that time. Today, upon visiting the C. I. D Economic Cell at Mumbai's Covered Market for an investigation, it was found that Khedkar Saheb has been transferred, and a working police inspector has been appointed in his place. They provided me with a Xerox copy of my statement and mentioned that the register record was taken only for my case, and the original register was transferred to Pune Panthri Police Station. My statement was taken by Superintendent of Police Pradeep at Pune Panthri Police Station. Later, when a team conducted a physical check at my house,

no documents related to Home Trade were found. Additionally, after investigating other accused individuals, no relevant evidence was found.

This is my fact.

Sd/-

L D Sosa

Police Sub Inspector

Office of the

District Police Superintendent

Woman cell/LCB

Valsad

117

Inward/outward No.: 2509/03

Umara Police Station, Surat city

Date: 25/06/2003

To,

Police Superintendent

District Valsad

Subject: Matter of sending facts against the
accused

Reference: As per your fax message dated
23/06/2003

It is the request report of the B.V.
Ramani, Police Inspector, Umara Police Station,
Surat city

With respect to above Subject and
Reference, it is hereby stated that a criminal
case has been registered at this police station
under Crime Registration No. 274/2, related to
Sections 420, 465, 406, 467, 114 of the I. P.
C, involving an offense linked to Home Trade
Limited Company. The individuals accused in the

aforementioned crime are: (1) Ketan Kantilal Sheth (2) Sanjay Hariram Agarwal (3) Subodh Bhandari (4) Nand kishore Trivedi (5) Niraj Surti (6) Mrs. Kanan Mevawala. In this case, Ketan Kantilal Sheth and Sanjay Hariram Agarwal have been arrested and are currently in custody. The remaining accused are yet to be apprehended. Apart from these, no other accused individuals have been reported as part of this case by the concerned authorities.

In this case, Surat Mahila Nagrik Bank decided to acquire government securities from Home Trade, for which the bank paid ₹10 crore to Home Trade. All the transactions related to this case were actively handled by accused numbers one through six, and no further involvement of other individuals has been disclosed. However, it has been indicated that all the accused held directorial positions at Home Trade, which is brought to your notice, Sir.

Date: 25/06/2003

Sd/-

B.V. Ramani

110

Police Inspector .
Umara police Station
Surat city

Date : 28/6/2003

My name is Hiten Bhupendra Shah. I am Hindu Vaishnav by caste. My age is 38. Occupation : job. My resident address is 102, Gaadgil Nivas, Vakola Bridge, Santacruz East, mumbai-55. Phone no. 26170931.

By asking in person I am writing that I am living with my family at the above mentioned work place and currently I am working as an accountant in Sun App Wartronics private limited Dadar Mumbai. Before that I was working as a work manager in Lloyd Brokerage company on temporary basis. Because I studied chartered accountant and worked there for certificate.

I am born in the year 1965 to Bhupendrabhai Bapalal Shah at Vadodara my mother's name is Saroj ben who passed away in the year 1997. I have one brother named Dhirenbbhai who currently lives with his family at Santacruz Prayog Society Santacruz East Mumbai-55. My sister in law's name is Sunitaben. I have no sisters, my maternal uncle is Vinod Chhatrapati, whose father's name is Maganlal who lives in Mumbai Kandivali. I have

an uncle whose name is Atul Bapalal shah who lives at Vadodara. I have a aunt whose name is DeviKaben shah as our Uncle (Fuva) passed away living in village Thasra in Kheda district.

I settled in Mumbai with my father, First he was working and now he is retired. I studied from class 1 to 7 at Bombay Sadhana School Santacruz West Mumbai, from class 8 to 10 Gothdibai High School, Parle, and Studied till B.com in N.M.College, Parle. I completed B.com in 1985. After that I studied chartered accountant in G P Kapadia & company till 1989 and then I worked in the same company as article super vision and obtained CA certificate in 1989, and then worked in the same company till 1994, then worked in P R Gandhi & Company, Mumbai. After that I did private practice for two years in 1996 and I was working in Lloyd Brokerage company in 1997 where the owner was Mukesh Gupta and I worked till the time the company was called Lloyd brokerage.

Today give me a complaint that valsad city police station I Crime Registration no. 119/02 I. P. C sections 420, 406, 409, 421,

422, 423, 466, 120b, 34, complainant Chetanbhai Ramanlal Desai, Sheth Bhagwan Das Brij Jivan Das Shroff valsad People's Bank Manager a Director of Home Trade Limited Company based in Mumbai (1) Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi (2) Ketan Kantilal Sheth (3) Sanjay Hariram Agrawal (4) Subhodha Mandani (5) Hiren Gada (6) Sashank Gopal Ranade (7) Vijay Himmatlal Gandhi (8) Salil Dinkarlal Gandhi (9) Alan Jeni Mac Milan (10) Rasal Vakim Vegar etc.. (11) Maik aka Manoj Ambalal Shah (12) Dhananjay Agrawal (13) Jagruti Hetan Sheth (14) Kumari Kanan Mevalal (15) Ketan R. Maskariya (16) Niraj A. Surati (17) Shreemati Kruti Niraj Surati and my wife Shilpa Hiten Shah and in the communication that the complaint given against me dated 6/6/2002 was read out to me, I state that I do not know the complainant Chetan Ambalal Desai who is the manager of Valsad Bhagwan Das Bridge Jivandas Shroff Valsad people's Co operative Bank mentioned in the said complaint and with me in the complaint. I know the said accused Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi very well with whom I worked in 1997

at Lloyd brokerage so I know. Also I know Sanjay Hariram Agrawal, but I have no acquaintance with him, I do not know any other accused except that I have met him once or twice. I don't know what happened to that company after I left the practice at Lloyd Brokerage in 1997. I don't know what happened to this Lloyd Brokerage company which was running in the name of Mukesh Gupta after he left the company. But Home Trade limited company operating in Mumbai started from the year 2000 and after getting the information about police (Gujarat) investigation regarding Home Trade, I have given the information of home trade company limited to V.S. Khanvelkar. When I got the copies of the certificate Of ROC, I came to know that Sanjay Hariram Agarwal who had set up a Home Trade company in Mumbai. I am also not aware of what transactions they have done with Co operative banks in Maharashtra and Gujarat on behalf of home trade limited, but what I have come to know so far is the sale of government securities of valsad Co operative bank worth Rs. 28 crores and the purchase of

new securities. Purchased from Valsad people's bank. Neither I nor my wife have done any job in home trade limited and I have no idea where home trade limited is located. But according to you, it is known that Home Trade company Vashi is located in Mumbai.

When I was working in Lloyd Brokerage company I was known by Nand kishore Trivedi and Sanjay Agarwal so they needed share holder form to register the company so they gave my name to register Lloyd Brokerage company I believe I am not from Gujarat Bank in valsad I don't even know where it is. When I was working in Lloyd Brokerage, test market and capital market was handled by Nand kishore Trivedi, so I know his signature very well. Neither I nor my wife worked in home trade limited. Works at home. I believe that the complainant has also made us accused by receiving money from Lloyd Brokerage when the company was running at the brokerage stage. The facts stated in the complaint are all post-incorporation transactions of home trade co. Ltd. After selling the securities, it is the duty of the seller to transfer them

in the name of the owner. The seller makes the transfer from the Reserve Bank and reports it to NSE. That is, the National Stock Exchange is done. I don't have any special information other than that information I know.

I got married with Shilpa known person to Rajinikant bhai town paria residence Madras Chennai in the year 1994. I have two children one baby girl and one baby boy. I don't have brother-in-law but I have sister-in law. Who stay with her in-laws in Bangalore. My wife Shilpa's passport number is k00- 787 dated 9/2/95. Which has been expired in December-January 2002. I have provided the xerox copy of this. Also my passport number b-6938 dated 6/11/93 which is valid till 28/12/03. I am submitting its xerox copy too. And I am submitting the xerox copy of the information I have obtained from the registered companies since 1993 relating to Home Trade. I am also presenting xerox of Europe Asian company certificate.

I have a case registered against me and my wife Shilpa and I have filed a form

dated 12/3/2003 seeking anticipatory bail in the Honourable Valsad Sessions Court. The anticipatory bail application dated 25/3/2003 has been disallowed from the Honourable court. I am also submitting the copy of the same. In the case filed by Valsad people's bank against me and my wife, no evidence has been found against me before the commission of the crime as well as at present. So my statement has been taken and I undertake to be present before you or any other Investigating Officer when required in the investigation.

That is my fact which is correct and true as written by me.

Regards,

Police sub inspector

Valsad city police station

Cri.Anti.Bail.App no. 65/2003

: :- Order Below

Anticipatory Bail Application : :-

Presented by advocate R V Damoli on 21/03/2003

(1) The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of both the accused and it has been submitted that on the basis of the complaint given by main complainant Chetanbhai Desai as manager of Valsad People's co operative Bank Limited, the police registered the First crime at valsad city police station I Crime Register no. 119/2002 I.P.C Section 467, 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 120b and 34 arrested the other accused when the present applicant no. 1 named in the complaint as accused no. 5 and the present applicant as accused no. 14 the present accused never served as a Director in Home Trade Limited Company, along with the accused mentioned in the complaint there is no relationship. Complaints are late and the present accused has not given any details in the complaint as to what part of the order he

has served. There is no First spectacular case against the accused. There is no theory of collective responsibility in criminal cases. There is no evidence of conspiracy. In Maharashtra as well as in Gujarat, there have been complaints about some acts of home trade limited company and the investigation is ongoing. The accused have no connection with the criminal act of the company and there is a possibility that the present accused are wrongly arrested by the police even though they are not directors in the company. Both the present applicants are husband and wife and have minor children who are permanent residents of the present applicant have never been called by the police for the purpose of investigation in the Mumbai case or in the present case inspite of long time since the complaint was filed and therefore there is evidence and reasonable grounds to release the accused on anticipatory bail.

(2) After hearing the arguments of both the lawyers appearing with the investigation

papers, the public prosecutor took the investigation papers for sale.

(3) Briefly considering the facts of the case of the prosecution, on the basis of the complaint given by the complainant, it has been revealed at the end of the investigation that all the accused mentioned in the complaint formed a criminal conspiracy to commit the crime in collusion with each other and set up a company named Home Trade limited in Mumbai Vashi area, in which the accused are directors, chairman and various positions include director of executive director etc. Chartered accountants holding government securities buy-sell. Sheth Bhagwan Das of Valsad Brij Jivan Das Valsad Peoples co.op. Bank limited to the complainant and witnesses during the period from 15/5/2000 to 19/3/2000 original accused no. 1 and 4 and 10 to 12 with the complainant at different times of purchase of government securities. Purchased government security from the complainant by entering into deals and received an investment of rs.11 crores for obtaining new security total contact notes of

27,50,00,000/- dated 30/1/2002 and purchased at face value on 13/3/2002 28,75,00,000 in excess of the amount those accused did not pay the complainant bank all the accused in mutual help gave false contact notes on the blank security form of reserve bank of India took xerox papers of old security bonds and wrote wrong numbers of reserve bank on the blank form gave the government security money to the complainant bank all the accused for their personal benefit using the public money for his own personal benefit, despite knowing that there was no balance in his account, he issued different checks in the name of the Federal bank were issued to the complainant bank and no amount was found to be in the account representing the cheques. According to that, the police have presented a charge sheet against the arrested accused in the court of chief judicial magistrate of Valsad on the basis that the accused have committed the crime of betrayal, fraud and false documents with the complainant bank.

(4) In this case a fact comes on record that the present accused has not been mentioned by the complainant in his complaint in which specific position they are working and the fact that all the accused are directors is written in the complaint. This work reveals the fact that both the present accused are working in home trade limited by selling the investigation papers. Some documents have been produced by the accused along with the present bail application. And according to those documents the search report from the registrar of companies has been produced that both the present accused do not hold any position as directors and both the present accused did not hold any position as directors in home trade limited. Even considering that fact, it is prime evident that the present applicant no. 1 is associated with the company and the fact that the applicant no. 2 is a non-executive independent director is also evident from the investigation and in that position he was involved in the criminal act along with the other accused persons. The submission of the

accused that they played no part is not acceptable. In this work it has been contended on behalf of the accused that there is no clarification as to what part the present applicant have played except that only the complaint mentions the accused as directors and therefore there is no prime evidence against the applicant and relying on some judgments presented on behalf of the applicants. Both the applicants have been requested to be released on anticipatory bail.

(5) In view of the allegations leveled against the petitioners in this case, it appears that the First applicants along with the other accused had entered into some financial dealings with the complainant bank and had acquired trust and confidence to give the amount of government security obtained from the complainant bank after obtaining a large sum of money. Also in this case, the fact that checks in the name of federal bank were given to the complainant bank on behalf of the accused and the cheques were returned due to non-balance in the account is also First sight

presented to the criminal man. For which act the present applicant cannot be held to be in any way involved. The fact that the accused are also emerges from the investigation papers and it cannot be held that the present accused are unaware of the details of insufficient deposit in the bank. The police have filed a charge sheet against other arrested accused and the existing accused are mentioned in the column of accused not arrested. The present applicant are shown to be fugitives as per column. In this work, the investigating officer tried to contact the present accused by selling the investigation papers, but the fact of non-availability of the accused also comes on record and therefore, if anticipatory bail is granted to the present accused, in the investigation against them. There is a possibility of a interception. The amount involved in this crime which is more than 28 crores and along with it the reserve bank bond whose xerox copy has wrongly written the numbers in the name of the reserve bank, the intention to give the embezzled amount to the

complainant bank and not the amount involved in the crime is one sight revealed.

(6) In the argument for the accused AIR 2000 supreme court judgment page no.754 cited, where two different complaints were made in respect of the same offence, the later complaint filed in I. P. C. Sections 406, 420 are held to be quashed but in the present application it is to be seen whether prime offense is committed against the accused, the above judgment does not help the accused in any way and in para no. 13 of the above judgment by selling the investigation papers. What is observed is not acceptable at this stage.

(7) 1980 (2) supreme court cases page no. 665 in favor of the accused in which anticipatory bail should be granted keeping in view the constitutional provision of personal liberty. Even if we consider the above principle, the prime evidence of the accused involved in the crime does not deserve the benefit of anticipatory bail considering the seriousness of the crime and the behaviour of the accused.

(8) 1994 (4) supreme court cases page no. 260 for the accused in which the Honourable Supreme Court has held a judgment on the principles for arresting an accused in the commission of any offence, considering that the investigating officer in the head case has to apply the circumstances according to the principles of the head judgment against the accused. And therefore the above judgment is not particularly helpful to the accused at this stage.

(9) 1995 (1) supreme court cases page no. 339 against the accused in which the fact of marriage portion death is based. And its circumstances are not applicable to the present case.

(10) considering all the facts, the seriousness of the crime, the involvement of the accused in the prime crime, the fact that the accused could not be found, the fact that the present accused no. 2 is a female accused, despite the fact that there is prima facie evidence, and the investigation against the present applicant has not been completed. The

applicant of having are also not proper and sufficient grounds for granting anticipatory bail and therefore the submission of the accused is not acceptable and I hereby pass the order as follows dismissing the said application:

::ORDER::

(11) The present anticipatory bail application of the applicant accused is hereby dismissed.

Order dated 25th March 2003 declared in open Court.

Date : 25/03/2003.

Valsad.

(V. K. Mali.)

Additional Sessions Judge,

Fast Track Court,

Valsad.

**The connection/linking of the remand report
of the accused Kanan Rameshbhai Maskariya.**

1. Notice to seek arrest of absconding accused

It is requested to grant 10 days of police custody remand for the aforementioned matter involving the accused, Kananbhai Rameshbhai Maskariya, residing in Mumbai, as his presence is necessary for the investigation. A copy of the case diary from page number 67 to 112 is attached herewith. Therefore, it is requested to kindly approve the police custody remand as per the legal requirements. The remand request is submitted for approval.

Date: 25/06/03

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city

office of the police

superintendent

Valsad

Outward No. 100/03

Valsad city police
station

Date: 28/06/03

To,

Hitenbhai Bhupendrabhai Shah

Address: original Vadodara,

Current address: 102, Gadgil nivas,

Shantakunj, East, Mumbai

This is to inform you in writing that in Valsad City Police Station, Case Register Number 119/02 under I. P. C Sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 460, 120B, 34, a complaint has been registered against you as per the F. I. R. with sequence numbers 5 and 14 by the Manager of Valsad People's Bank, Chetanbhai, in which your name has been mentioned as an accused.

However, until now, our previous investigating officer, as well as the investigation related to the aforementioned case, have not found any documentary evidence

against you. Even among the arrested accused, no oral evidence has been found against you.

However, the investigation of this Home Trade case is still ongoing, and other accused persons are yet to be arrested. Therefore, if any evidence is found against you during further investigation or interrogation, you are required to be present when summoned by the investigating officer or police officer, either through issued summons or upon receiving oral notice. It is important to note that appropriate action will be taken as necessary.

Additionally, since you hold a passport for foreign travel, you must obtain approval from us or consent from a competent authority before traveling abroad. If any of the above instructions are violated, action will be taken under the Indian Penal Code.

Date: 28/06/2003

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city po. St.

Date: 21/06/03

My name is Ketan Rameshbhai Mashkariya,
Age: 30, occupation: job, Address: 191, block
number 5313, Gitanjali Apartment, Pant nagar,
Mumbai East, Ghatkopar 400075

I write in response to detailed
questioning in person, stating that I reside
at the above address. Today, during police
remand, I provide further details that I joined
the Home Trade Government Securities Department
in the year 2000, where I held the position of
Market Post Officer in the Debt Market. At that
time, in this department, Ketan Sheth, Nand
kishore Trivedi, Sanjay Agrawal, and Kanan
Mevawala were present. During that time, in
Home Trade, Jay Mehta handled the transactions
related to government securities, including
entries for buying and selling, as well as the
billing and general entry work related to
securities. Therefore, I am aware of him, as I
knew him from Home Trade. However, I came to
know that he lived in Mumbai, Vasai. But to
this day, I do not know his exact address in
Vasai, Mumbai. I am also aware that there were

(41)

criminal cases registered against him with the Maharashtra Police, which is why both Jay Mehta and I were repeatedly summoned for investigations at various police stations, such as Nagpur, Pune Police Station, and Mumbai Crawford Market Police Station. From that, I learned that Jay Mehta resided in Vasai, Mumbai. While Jay Mehta was employed at Home Trade, we worked in the office, but since our responsibilities were different, we only came into contact occasionally. I became aware of his name through these interactions. He also handled contract notes, billing, and general entries related to securities, and I was aware of this at that time as well. However, Jay Mehta has never visited Valsad People's Bank due to any securities-related matter. Additionally, in the complaint filed by the bank manager, Chetan Ramanlal Desai, at Valsad Police Station, Jay Mehta's name has not been mentioned.

This is my fact.

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city police
station

To,
Medical officer
Civil Hospital, Valsad.

Subject: Regarding Medical Treatment

In connection with the case registered at Valsad City Police Station, with crime register number 119/2, under sections 406, 420, 409, 421, 422, 423, 467, 120(B), and 34 of the I. P. C, the accused Ketan Rameshbhai Maskariya, aged 30, address: Ghatkopar, was arrested on 24/06/2003 at 17:10 hours. He was remanded from 25/06/2003 at 16:30 hours for 7 days, i.e., until 02/07/2003. As per government regulations, his medical examination needs to be conducted. Kindly send a police officer from your side along with him to undergo the necessary medical treatment and issue a certificate accordingly.

Date: 29/06/2003

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector
Valsad city Po. St.

Date: 29/06/2003

My name is Ketan Rameshbhai Mashkariya,
Age: 30, Occupation: Job, Address: Mumbai,
Ghatkopar, Gitanjali Apartment, Room No. 191,
block 5313, Mumbai 400075.

Upon being asked in person and in detail during the police remand, I write that when I was in the position of Money Market Officer in the Debt Market of Home Trade Company in the year 2000, at that time, the chief officer of the department was Ketan Sheth, along with Nand kishore Trivedi, Subodh Bhandari, and Kanan Mevawala. Jay Mehta was responsible for handling the entries of government securities transactions as well as general entries. Arvind Ray, who worked in the Debt Department, was involved in fixing the prices of securities with banks and executing transactions of various parties related to government securities. His name has been registered by the Valsad People's Bank manager in the Valsad Police Station, but I found out that his name was not mentioned in it. Arvind Ray's job was to fix deals with banks on behalf of parties

for the securities they received. When Arvind Ray was present at Valsad People's Bank, he was not with me or at any other time at Valsad. I am aware of this, and at that time, no contractor signed the checks coming on contract notes, and no case has been filed against him in any police station. I do not know his current whereabouts. When I was in Home Trade, Arvind Ray was employed there and was known to be residing in Mumbai, but I do not know his exact address.

At the time when I was at Valsad People's Bank, Arvind Ray was not present with me, nor has he ever been to Valsad up to this day. To my knowledge, he has never signed any contract notes or checks. No case has been registered against him regarding this matter in any police station. I do not know his current whereabouts. When I was at Home Trade, Arvind Ray used to come to work, and I learned that he lived in Mumbai, but I am not aware of his exact address.

This is my fact.

Sd/-
Police Sub Inspector
Valsad city po. St.

V H F

To,

Towards Police Inspector, Valsad town for
information, L D Sosa, Police Sub Inspector

Reference: Dispol Valsad No. RB/140/tapas
manjuri/30/03, date: 11/06/03

In Crime Register Number I-119/02 at
Valsad City Police Station, under I. P. C
Sections 420, 467, etc., the absconding
accused, Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi,
resident of Mumbai, had appeared in Nagpur
Court. Hence, a transfer warrant was obtained
from Valsad Court, and through your constable
Rajendra, the warrant was sent to be submitted
in Nagpur Court before the Judicial First Class
Magistrate, Nagpur. The honourable court, on
16/06/2003, ordered that the aforementioned
accused be brought in. Therefore, permission
was granted on 14/06/2003 for one Police Sub-
Inspector and two constables from your police
station to travel to Nagpur with the transfer
warrant to bring the accused. The accused
should be brought in, and further proceedings

should be conducted, followed by a report to
be submitted here.

By order

Sd/-

By Disposal

Valsad

To,

District Police Superintendent

Valsad

Subject: Regarding getting the accused from Nagpur Maharashtra based on transfer warrant

Reference: Based on your VHF message No. RB/140/tapas manjuri/30/03, date: 11/06/03

It is the request report of the B.U. Gosai, Valsad city police station,

Regarding the afore mentioned subject and reference, in Crime Registration Number I-119/02 at Valsad City Police Station, under I. P. C Sections 420, 467, etc., as per the instructions of the Police Inspector of Valsad City Police Station, a police party was dispatched from here on 14/06/2003 to bring the accused, Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi, through a transfer warrant. On 16/06/2003, the Judicial Magistrate, First Class, First Court, Nagpur, Maharashtra, was approached in connection with the transfer warrant, which had previously been presented by Investigating

Officer L D Sosa, Police Sub-Inspector, Women's Cell/LCB, on 06/06/2013. According to Valsad Court dispatch number 1144/3 dated 13/06/03, a request was made to the Honorable court to hand over custody of the accused, Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi. The Nagpur Court informed that the CBI/BSFC in Mumbai had previously applied in Crime Number 4E/2002, involving I. P. C Sections 120B, 406, 405, 409, 467, and 468, in a bank fraud case. It was noted that custody of the accused was previously handed over to Mumbai CBI, and the decision regarding this handover is pending. Therefore, it has been indicated that the accused will First be returned from CBI Mumbai, after which the handover of custody to Valsad will be processed.

Furthermore, the transfer warrant issued in this case is not appropriate. As per Section 267 of the Criminal Procedure Code, proceedings under this section are necessary, and an order (Form No. 36) instructing the presentation of an individual in jail to answer the charges is required. This order from the relevant court

must be sent with a request to the in-charge of Central Jail, Nagpur, Maharashtra, through the aforementioned Nagpur Court, to facilitate the transfer of custody of the accused. It has been stated that until an order is issued to the jailer per Form No. 36, further proceedings will not take place. In this matter, the Maharashtra Government has appointed Mr. P.K. Satyanath, Government Pleader and Public Prosecutor in Nagpur, as a Special Public Prosecutor. He has taken the necessary steps to implement the above instructions.

The aforementioned accused is in Central Jail, Nagpur, Maharashtra, and is under the custody of the Judicial First Class Magistrate Court, Nagpur, in connection with Crime Registration Number 101/2002 at Ganesh peth Police Station, Nagpur City.

Currently, the order has been issued to hand over the accused to the CBI in Mumbai for investigation. Police Inspector Mehra of CBI, Walkeshwar, Mumbai, is conducting the investigation for this case. Their Mumbai phone number is 23635004, and PSI Bajpai is assisting

them. By contacting them, it can be determined how long the accused will remain in their custody. In this case, the accused's lawyer, B.C. Pal from Nagpur, is representing the accused and regularly appears in the relevant court. Therefore, it is requested to proceed with adequate preparations to obtain the custody of the accused in the future.

Enclosure:

The following documents are attached herewith:

1. Your VHF message dated 11/06/03
2. Copy of Valsad Court Dispatch Number 1144/03
3. Certificate issued on 13/06/03 for proceeding to take custody of the accused
4. Report submitted to Nagpur Court, authenticated by the Government Prosecutor of Nagpur
5. Report sent to Nagpur Court by Police Sub-Inspector Mr. Sosa on 02/06/03
6. Copy of Transfer Warrant dated 03/06/03 of Valsad Court

The above papers are enclosed herewith. This is known to you.

Sd/-

B.U. Gosai

Police sub

inspector

Valsad city Po.

St.

Copy forwarded to,

Police Inspector, Valsad city police station

Copy forwarded W.R.T.

Towards police superintendent, Valsad

Date: 18/06/2003

Reader BranchDate: 18/06/2003

In connection with Case I-119/02 of Valsad Town Police Station, under I. P. C Sections 420, 421, 467, etc., a transfer warrant was issued to bring the accused Ishwar Shankarlal Trivedi. Following the instructions of the Police Inspector, Valsad Town, Police Sub-Inspector B.Y. Gosai and the police party were dispatched to Nagpur on 14/06/2003. On 16/06/2003, they appeared in the JMFC First Class Court, Nagpur, Maharashtra, based on the investigation report submitted by Officer L D Sosa on 06/06/2003 and the order from Valsad Court, Dispatch Number 1144/03, dated 13/06/03. A request was made to hand over the accused to the Nagpur Court. However, the accused Nand kishore was handed over to the CBI/BSFC Mumbai in connection with Crime Registration Number 49/02 under I. P. C Sections 120B, 406, 409, 467, and 468 as per a previous application from the CBI. As a result, the Valsad Police's application has been kept pending. Once the

accused is returned, proceedings to hand over custody to Valsad will be initiated.

It is necessary to proceed according to Section 267 of the Criminal Procedure Code, and the order from the relevant court regarding Form No. 36 (an order to present the person in jail to answer charges of the crime) must be sent through the Nagpur Court to the in-charge of Central Jail, Nagpur, Maharashtra. This is to facilitate the handing over of the accused. In this matter, the Maharashtra government has appointed Government Pleader and Public Prosecutor Shri P.K. Sabhya Nath as the government lawyer in Nagpur, and they have been instructed to implement the aforementioned directives.

The afore mentioned accused is currently incarcerated at Central Jail, Nagpur, Maharashtra, under the custody of the JMFC First Class Court, Nagpur, in connection with Case I-101/02 of Ganesh peth Police Station, Nagpur.

An order has been issued to hand over the accused to the CBI Mumbai for investigation.

Police Inspector Meher from CBI, Walkeshwar, Mumbai, is leading the investigation in this case. Their Mumbai phone number is 236354, and their assistant is PSI Bajpai. By contacting them, the duration of the accused's custody with them can be confirmed. The accused's lawyer, B.C. Pal from Nagpur, is actively representing the accused in the relevant court.

A report requesting future proceedings with adequate preparation to obtain the custody of the accused has been submitted by the Police Inspector of Valsad Town and is included under Flag 'A'. Therefore, it is requested that an appropriate order be issued regarding this matter.

Sd/-

Reader

On behalf of the PSI

Date 30/06/03

My name is Ketan Rameshbhai MashKariya,
cast: Jain Vaniya, Age: 30, occupation: Job,
Address: 191, block No. 5313, Gitanjali
Apartment, Pant nagar, Ghatkopar, Mumbai, East,
400075.

In person, while I am under police investigation remand, I write to state that today, you, Sir, took me along with the police team to Valsad to investigate regarding Sheth Bhagwan Das Brij Bhukhan Das Govind Das Shroff at the Valsad Peoples Cooperative Bank. There, I met Bank Manager Chetanbhai Ramanbhai Desai, who was seated in his office. He presented some contract notes related to Home Trade Company that were contracted with the bank. When I was asked to present them, I noticed my signature on the contract notes that Chetanbhai showed me, along with the signature of Sanjay Agrawal, which I identified. The contract note bears the symbol of Home Trade, and it was prepared on a computer. You, Sir, seized this contract in the presence of the witnesses. This contract note was sent to me with a brief counter-signature

from K.R.M. It was for a one-time purpose of acquiring government securities and also for a one-time contract note issuance.

This is my fact.

In person

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city po. St.

Date: 01/07/03

My name is Ketan Rameshbhai Mashkariya, cast: Jain Vaniya, Age: 30, occupation: job, Address: 191, block No. 5313, Gitanjali Apartment, Pant Nagar, Ghatkopar, Mumbai, East, 400075.

Upon being questioned in person, I gave my statement regarding this case in front of you, Sir, on June 24, 2003, June 25, 2003, June 26, 2003, June 27, 2003, June 28, 2003, June 29, 2003, and June 30, 2003, during my police remand. You read it to me, and I confirm that it is accurate and true. Additionally, I state that in Valsad City Police Station, Crime Register No. 119/02, under I. P. C sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 120B, and 34, a case has been registered against Home Trade Limited Mumbai's chairman, Sanjay Agrawal, and 19 others, including myself, by Bank Manager Shri Chetan Ramanbhai Desai. Following the registration of this case, the accused Sanjay Hariram Agrawal, Ketan Kantilal Sheth, and Subodh Chand Dayal Bhandari were arrested on different dates, and they are currently in police custody, as you informed

me. In front of you, the bank manager presented details related to Home Trade in this investigation. Among these, in January 2002, I personally obtained securities worth 9.5 crores from Bank Manager Chetanbhai at the bank, for which I signed the office copy. Upon taking the 9.5 crore securities from Valsad, I recorded the same in the Home Trade company register at that time. These securities were deposited with Home Trade, and as instructed by Kanan Mevawala, who held the managerial position, I dispatched them to the respective parties as per her guidance. I had come to Valsad Bank to deliver the contract note for each transaction, and I confirm from bank records that I did not use the 9.5 crore securities for personal purposes. Instead, as an employee of Home Trade Company Limited, handling these securities was part of my duty. I regularly followed instructions from my superiors, including the Manager, Vice Chairman, and President. During my employment, I received a monthly salary of ₹25,000. I believe the records of the securities I took are with Home Trade Company

and may have been transferred when the initial case was registered with Nagpur C. I. D Branch. Based on those records, I can provide further information. I took securities worth 9.5 crore rupees with certificate numbers AD - 13, 168, 169, 170, 171, 6, 7, 8, and 308, totalling 9.5 crore rupees. I will make a copy of these records based on the Xerox documents presented by Valsad Peoples Cooperative Bank to show which parties currently hold these securities.

This is my facts.

In person

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad City Po. St.

To,
Judicial Magistrate Sir,
Valsad court,

It is the request report of the Mr. L D Sosa, Police Sub Inspector, Valsad City Police Station, office of the police Superintendent

In the case registered under I Crime Register No. 119/02 at Valsad City Police Station, pertaining to I. P. C Sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, and 120B, the accused, Ketan Rameshbhai Maskariya, Age: 30, address: 191, block No. 5313, Gitanjali Apartment, Pant Nagar, Ghatkopar, east, Mumbai 40007, was arrested on June 24, 2003, at 17:10. He has been sent to be held in your court's custody. It is requested that he be detained accordingly.

Date: 25/06/03

Sd/-
Police Sub Inspector
Valsad City Po. St.
Office of the police
Superintendent
Valsad

To,

Judicial Magistrate First Class

Valsad court.

It is the request of the L D Sosa, Police Sub Inspector, Valsad city police station that,

In the case registered under Crime Register No. 119/02 at Valsad City Police Station under I. P. C Sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, and 120B, the accused, Ketan Rameshbhai Maskariya, Age: 30, address: 191, block No. 5313, Gitanjali Apartment, Pant Nagar, Ghatkopar, east, Mumbai 40007, was arrested on June 24, 2003, at 17:10 and was presented before you on June 25, 2003. He was placed on police remand until July 2, 2003, at 16:30 for investigation purposes. As the remand period concludes today, the accused has been sent to your court to be kept under court custody. It is requested that the accused be detained in your court custody.

Date: 02/07/03

Enclosure: A remand warrant is attached herewith.

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city Po. St.

L D Sosa,

Valsad

Date: 01/07/03

To,

Judicial Magistrate First Class

Valsad.

Subject: The matter of finding the accused by proceeding as per Section 267 of Cr P C and proceeding as per Form 36.

It is the request report of the L D Sosa, Police Sub Inspector, Valsad city

Regarding the above subject, it is informed that in the case registered under I Crime Register No. 119/02 at Valsad City Police Station under I. P. C Sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, and 120B, the accused, Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi, Address: Mumbai, is currently in custody of the Judicial First Class Magistrate Court, Nagpur City, in connection with a case registered under Crime Register No. 101/02 at Ganeshpeth Police Station under I. P. C Section 406. In this

context, it is requested that PSI Gosai take a transfer warrant and proceed as per Section 267 of the Cr P C. Form No. 36 should be duly filled out to acquire the accused from the Nagpur Court. The necessary steps should be taken to send the completed form to the Nagpur Court and take appropriate action to acquire the accused.

Date: 01/07/03

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad City

Date: 02/07/03

My name is Ketan Rameshbhai Maskariya,
Age: 30, occupation: Job, Address: 191, Block
No. 5313, Gitanjali Apartment, Pant Nagar,
GhatKopar, East, Mumbai 400075

During my police remand, I am giving my
statement in the presence of the officer,
confirming that in Home Trade Limited, Sanjay
Agrawal served as the CEO (Chief Executive
Officer), under whom Kumari Kanan Mevawala
worked as the manager. I am well-acquainted
with her, and I can confirm that the counter-
signature marked "KM" on the contract note is
indeed hers. Additionally, I recognize the
signature of Subodh Chand Dayal Bhandari, who
was a director, as his signature appears on the
xerox copy of the contract note. Furthermore,
I recognize the signatures of Nand kishore
Shankarlal Trivedi on both the contract note
and the bank's resolution, which confirms that
these signatures are indeed his. I am familiar
with the signatures of Sanjay Agrawal, Kumari
Kanan Mevawala, and Nand kishore Trivedi on the
contract notes, where my own signature is also

present, but there are no signatures of Ketan Sheth on these documents. However, on March 16, 2002, Bhagwan Das Sheth was present at the Valsad People's Co operative Bank, where he signed as a representative of Home Trade Limited under the names (1) N.S. Trivedi, (2) Ketan Sheth, and (3) Kumari Kanan Mevawala, each with their respective signatures. This is the First time I saw Ketan Sheth's signature, so I cannot definitively confirm its authenticity. Nonetheless, I do recognize the signatures of N.S. Trivedi and Kanan Mevawala, as they were present at the bank. I am aware that Ketan Sheth held the position of director at Home Trade Limited and handled debt market operations, managing the company's gilt investments and government securities trading. However, I am unsure whether the funds from the bank intended for government securities were reinvested in new securities. Finally, I have already provided detailed information regarding the securities worth ₹9.5 crore, which I was responsible for acquiring, in my previous statements to the officer.

Against Home Trade Limited, various cases have been filed across Maharashtra. In response, I have visited Nagpur and Pune, where my statements have been recorded by the investigating officers. Additionally, my statement was taken in Mumbai at the Crafford Market Police Station, following a complaint filed by Raghuvanshi Co operative Society. In all the cases across Maharashtra, my name has not been listed as an accused. However, I am uncertain under what circumstances I have been listed as an accused in Gujarat. In Gujarat, besides Valsad Bank, I have not visited any other banks in Navsari, Surat, Borsad, or elsewhere. The operations of Home Trade Limited were primarily overseen by Sanjay Agrawal, Subodh Bhandari, Nand kishore Trivedi, and Kumari Kanan Mevawala—all of whom I know well. I am also acquainted with Dhananjay Agrawal, Sanjay's brother. Regarding Home Trade Limited's dealings with Co operative banks, I am not informed of any requirements to report securities transactions to the Reserve Bank of India or the National Stock Exchange. According

to the complainants, I was not involved in any government securities transactions for Home Trade Limited. However, as I have previously stated, I did take ₹9.5 crore worth of securities from Valsad People's Bank.

In Maharashtra, transactions on behalf of Home Trade Limited involving deposits, securities placements, and withdrawals were handled by Sanjay Agrawal, Nand kishore Trivedi, Subodh Bhandari, and Ketan Sheth. Home Trade Limited maintained accounts in various banks, including HDFC Bank, Bank of Maharashtra, U T I Bank, Janata Sahakari Bank, and Federal Bank in Mumbai. However, I do not know the specific account numbers of these bank accounts.

This is my facts.

In person

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city po. St.

Out ward No. Valsad city Po. St.

Date: 02/07/2003

To,

Judicial Magistrate

Valsad

Subject: Matter of writing list of transfer warrant to the accused

It is the request report of the L D Sosa, Police Sub Inspector, Valsad city

To inform you regarding the above subject, in the Valsad City Police Station Crime Register No. 119/02, a case has been registered under I. P. C Sections 420, 421, 422, 423, 120B, 34, and 467. The absconding accused, Trivedi Nand kishore Shankarbhai, appeared in Nagpur Court and was registered in Ganesh peth Police Station Crime Register No. 101/02. The Judicial Magistrate First Class (JMFC) Court in Nagpur had previously issued a transfer warrant in this case. The transfer warrant was filed in Nagpur Court, with the court summoning him on June 16, 2003. Consequently, Police Sub-Inspector B. U. Gosai transported the accused

under the Cr.P.C Section 267 process by completing Form 36.

However, if this warrant is not currently applicable, the accused, Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi, will remain in the Nagpur JMFC Court's custody. Based on the transfer instructions, I am willing to provide the details regarding the accused in the requested English format.

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city po. St.

P.S.I L D Sosa

Office of the police

Superintendent,

Valsad.

Date: 03,04/07/2003

To,

District Government Pleader,

Valsad.

Subject: Matter of filing Revision Petition
in the High Court

It is the request report of the L D Sosa,
Police Sub Inspector, Valsad city Police
Station that,

With reference to the above matter, it is
to inform you that in the Valsad City Police
Station I Crime Register Number 119/02, under
I. P. C sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423,
467, 120B, and 34, the accused Nand Kishore
Shankarlal Trivedi, address: Mumbai, has
surrendered at the Nagpur GMF Court. Therefore,
on the date 3/6/2003, the First Class

Magistrate of Valsad issued a report for a transfer warrant to obtain the above-mentioned accused. As a result, a transfer warrant in our name has been submitted to the Nagpur Court.

We have submitted this transfer warrant through the Valsad City Police Inspector to Police Constable Rajendra Yashwant, under Dispatch Number 5062/03, and the above-mentioned police constable submitted the transfer warrant to the Nagpur Court on the date 6/6/2003. The Honourable Court has instructed us to appear on 16/6/2003; however, due to another assignment, we sent Police Sub-Inspector B. U. Gosai, as per the written order of the Police Superintendent. On that date, he was present at the GMF Nagpur Court in connection with the CBI case from Mumbai. After that, you will be handed over custody as stated by the District Government Pleader and the Public Prosecutor in Nagpur, which was also confirmed by the Nagpur Court.

The Nagpur Court GMF and the Public Prosecutor verbally stated that the accused is

in judicial custody. Therefore, proceeding under Section 267 of the CR. P. C, instructions were given to address the warrant through the Nagpur Court to the Central Jail, Nagpur, in accordance with Form 36. Police Sub-Inspector Gosai returned, and thereafter, on 18/6/2003, Police Sub-Inspector B.U. Gosai submitted a report to the Police Superintendent under Dispatch Number 1515/03 from Valsad City. As a result, the Police Superintendent provided instructions for further action in addition to the verbal order.

Thus, on 01/07/2003, we submitted a report to the Magistrate of Valsad Court under Section 267 of the CR. P. C to proceed and issue a warrant in Form 36 for production. Subsequently, on 02/07/2003, they postponed the matter, and we made a representation that the accused is in judicial custody. Accordingly, a request was made for a production warrant in Form 36. However, this application was rejected. Despite this, today we have again requested a review and issuance of a production

warrant, presenting that the Nagpur Court, along with CBI Mumbai, Amravati, and Wardha, had previously taken a transfer warrant but had not taken custody of the accused. Therefore, we have requested an order for a production warrant and have advised that you may file a revision application if necessary.

Thus, if the accused has surrendered in the JMFC Nagpur Court and remains in its custody, any police outside the state, as well as local police, have not been given custody of the accused via transfer warrant. Since when custody is transferred via a warrant, questions arise about the accused's current location, custody, which police station holds them, and under which investigating officer they are. The Nagpur Court has not handed over the accused through a transfer warrant. If custody is given via a production warrant in Form 36, then the location and responsible authority for the accused become immediately clear. Therefore, as the Honourable JMFC Valsad has not issued a production warrant, a request is made to file

a revision application in the Sessions Court to obtain a production warrant. Attached are documents from Exhibit Number 1 to ____ for your reference.

Date: 03/07/2003

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad City Po. St.

Words:

Coping fee: 3.25

Comparing fee: 0.75

Surcharge fee:

Paper fee:

Total: 4.00

Application Number: 136-year 2003

For this application, PSI L D Sosa submitted
an application on the 3rd day of July, 2003.

Sd/-

Registrar

Chief Jud F. I. R. Class
court

The completion of this application was done
on the 3rd of July, 2003.

Sd/-

Registrar

Chief Jud F. I. R. Class
court

This application was prepared and ready for
submission on the 3rd of July, 2003.

Sd/-

Registrar

Chief Jud. F. I. R.. Class

court

This application was given on the 3rd of July,
2003.

Sd/-

Registrar

Chief Jud F. I. R. Class

court

Valsad city/home

trade/100/03

L D Sosa, Valsad, Date:

01/07/03

To,

Judicial First Class Magistrate

Valsad

Subject: Under Section 267 of the Criminal Procedure Code (Cr P C), action should be taken as per Form No. 36 to ensure the presence of the accused.

It is the request report of the L D Sosa, Police Sub Inspector, Valsad city Police Station that,

In the above matter, it is stated that in the Valsad City Police Station, I Crime Register Number 119/02, a case has been registered under I. P. C Sections 420, 421, 422, 467, 120B, and 34 against the accused, Nand Kishore Shankarlal Trivedi. This accused is currently in the custody of the Judicial

First Class Magistrate, First Court, Nagpur City, in connection with a case under I. P. C Section 406 registered at Ganeshpeth Police Station, Crime Register Number 101/02. Therefore, it is requested that a transfer warrant be obtained, and Police Sub-Inspector may proceed under Section 267 of the Cr. P. C by filling out the Production Form as per Form 36. If directed by the Nagpur Court, please complete the Production Form and proceed further under Cr. P. C Section 267 to secure the custody of the accused from the Nagpur Court.

Date: 01/07/2003

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad City Po. St.

Valsad city Po. St.

Date: 02/07/03

To,

Judicial Magistrate First Class Sir,

Valsad

Subject: The matter of writing that list with the accused of the transfer warrant

It is the request report of the L D Sosa, Police Sub Inspector, Valsad city Police Station that,

With reference to the above matter, it is stated that in the Valsad City Police Station, I Crime Register Number 119/02, cases have been filed under I. P. C Sections 420, 421, 422, 423, 120B, 34, and 467 against the absconding accused, Nand Kishore Shankarlal Trivedi, who appeared in Nagpur Court. This case has also been registered at Ganesh peth Police Station, Nagpur, under Crime Register Number 101/02, and a transfer warrant was previously submitted to the esteemed Judicial First Class Court, which

was filed in Nagpur Court. On June 16, 2013, the court summoned the accused. Consequently, Police Sub-Inspector B.U. Gosai filled out the Production Form according to Form 36 as per Section 267 of the Cr. P. C to secure the accused. However, if this is not applicable, we kindly request you to provide an English list of the accused, Nand Kishore Shankarlal Trivedi, based on the transfer warrant submitted by the Nagpur Court.

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad City Po. St.

Date: 04/07/2003

My name is Shilpa, W/O Hiten Bhupendra Shah, caste: Hindu Vaishnav, Age: 38, occupation: Housework, Address: 102, Gadgil Niwas, Vankola Bridge, Santacruz, East, Mumbai 55, phone No. 26160931.

In person, I state that I live at the address mentioned above with my family and handle household work. I was born in 1965 in Madras to Rajnikant Narsinhbhai Parikh, and my mother's name is Sarojben. I have no brothers, but I have one elder sister named Miraben, who is married to Mukeshbhai in Bangalore. My father's home telephone number is 26440381. I studied up to standard 10 at Holy Angel Convent School and then pursued my B.Com at SIDD College in Madras. After that, I moved to Mumbai in 1994 after marrying Hiten Bhupendrabhai, and I have been living in Mumbai since then. I have one elder brother, Dhiren, who lives separately in Santacruz, Mumbai. I have no sisters-in-law. I have completed my studies up to CA.

Today, you informed me that at the City Police Station, a case has been registered under I. P. C Sections 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 120B, and 34 in Crime Register Number 119/02. The complainants, Chetanbhai Ramanlal Desai and the manager of Sheth Bhagwan Das Valsad People's Cooperative Bank, have filed a complaint against the accused: Nand Kishore Shankarlal Trivedi, Ketan Kantilal Sheth, Sanjay Hariram Agarwal, Subodh Bhandari, Kumari Kanan Mevawala, and 14 other accused individuals. In the complaint, my name is mentioned as the 14th accused, while my husband Hiten Kumar Shah's name is listed as the 5th. The complaint states that the Valsad People's Cooperative Bank has given permission to sell government securities worth ₹28 crores against Home Trade Limited Company. In this case, the complainant has mentioned the evidence in Item No. 10. Accused numbers 1 to 17 were previously doing business under the name Ketan Sheth and Company as brokers and merchants. In this regard, I state that I do not know Ketan Sheth, and I have not worked with Home Trade Limited

Company. I have completed my education up to B.Com. I am not employed in any government job or business. My husband is a Chartered Accountant; he runs his own business and has been trapped. My husband did not work at Home Trade Limited Company. After our marriage, he worked at a brokerage company in 1996-97 and is currently working as an accountant at Sun of Lotus Company. My husband has not worked at Home Trade Limited Company in Mumbai or Vashi. However, our names have been mentioned in the complaint by the complainant, Chetan Ramanbhai Desai. My husband has no share of any kind in Home Trade Limited. I believe that my husband worked in consultancy at Lloyds Group Company in 1996-97, which is why his name was given. According to the complaint, the activities related to Home Trade Limited Company took place in the year 2000. Among the accused mentioned, I have neither seen nor recognized any of them, nor have I had any meetings with them. However, my husband has been named as an accused, and the police investigation has mentioned our names.

This is my fact.

In person

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city Po. St.

Out ward No. LD/home

trade/100/03

L D Sosa, Police Sub

Inspector

Office of the police

Superintendent Valsad city

Date: 04/07/2003

To,

Hitenbhai Bhupendrabhai Shah

Shilpaben Hitenbhai Shah

Address of both: 102, Gadgil Niwas, Vankola,
Santacruz, Mumbai 55.

Phone No. 26170931.

Today, you are informed in writing that there is currently no evidence against you in the ongoing investigation. However, the complainant is currently unavailable, so only the complainant can explain how your name was included in the complaint. You are hereby notified that since the complainant is currently not available, you must be present

for the investigation at the office of the
Police Superintendent on the next date,
7/7/2003, at 11:00 AM.

1.Sd/-

2.Sd/-

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Office of the Valsad city

police Superintendent

Valsad, Valsad City

Date: 30/01/2003

Receipt**As per Cr. P. C 100**

I am issuing a receipt stating that in the case registered at Valsad City Police Station I crime Registration Number 119/02 under I. P. C sections 420, 421, 422, and 467, the accused, Ketan Rameshbhai Maskeriya, along with Home Trade and Peoples Bank Valsad, had a contract note dated 21/5/2001. In contract note number 6577, Ketan Maskariya provided a counter signature. According to the original contract note, the document has been seized, and a panchanamu has been prepared to send it to an expert for analysis, for which a receipt has been issued.

Date: 30/01/2003

In person

Sd/-

L D Sosa

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city

Valsad city

Date: 07/07/2003

To,

The Manager

Sheth B B Shrof,

Valsad Peoples Co. Soc. Bank Ltd.

Valsad.

In the case registered under Valsad City Police Station I Crime Number 119/02, filed under I. P. C sections 420, 422, 430, 467, etc., you, in the name of Chetanbhai Ramanbhai Desai, address: Valsad, have submitted a complaint listing the names of 19 accused individuals. To date, the investigation has not established any role of Home Trade in this crime. If there is any evidence against them, please present it within the complaint case.

1. Kruti Niraj Surati, address: Bharuch
2. Shilpa Hiten Shah, address: Mumbai
3. Hiten Bhupendra Shah, address: Mumbai
4. Smt. Jagruti Ketan Sheth, address: Mumbai

If there is any criminal involvement or documentary evidence against Home Trade in the above-mentioned case, kindly submit it for review.

Date: 07/07/03

Sd/-

L D Sosa
Police Sub Inspector
Valsad city

**Before the Court of the Judicial Magistrate
of the Valsad District, Mu. Valsad**

CRI MISC APPL NO.: 207/03

Applicant:

Ketan Rameshbhai Mashkariya, address: Mumbai-
Ghatkopar, 5313, Gitanjali Apartment,
PantNagar

VERSUS

Opponent:

The State of Gujarat

Affidavit

I, Mr. L D Sosa, PSI, Age: 46, Occupation:
Job, Address: Valsad city Po. St., hereby
solemnly declare under oath according to my
religion that

I am the investigating officer in the
Valsad City First crime register number 119/02
case. Before passing an order on the bail
application for the said case, it is necessary

to consider the facts mentioned below, for which I have made an affidavit.

1	Police Station Crime Registration Number	Valsad City Po. St. 119/02, I. P. C sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 120B, 34
2	Names of the accused	Sanjay Hariram Agrwal, Ketan Kantilal Sheth, Subodh Chand Dayal Bhandari, Ketan Ramesh Mashkariya, Address of all: Mumbai
3	Deceased's Name	--
4	Name of injured person	--
5	Date, time and place of incident	Valsad City Police Station Crime
6	Date, time, and place of F. I. R. filing	Register Number 119/02 under I. P. C

7	Distance between the place of occurrence and the police station	Sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 120B, and 34. On
8	Name of the informant and is the complainant a witness, or is the injured person a witness?	the date of 6/6/2002, the complainant Chetanbhai Ramanbhai
9	Type of offense	Desai filed a
10	Weapon in the hands of the accused	complaint in this case. The facts of
11	Details of the accused's involvement in the crime and how many injuries were caused to whom	the case are that the complainant is a manager at Valsad People's Bank, and
12	Who inflicted the fatal blow on the deceased?	according to the Reserve Bank's
13	Is there medical evidence supporting the cause of death?	guidelines, a certain percentage of investment is
14	Which accused caused injury to whom?	required in securities.
15	Is there medical evidence supporting this?	Therefore, the afore mentioned accused

16	Motive of the crime	started an updated
17	Names of eye witnesses /injured witnesses	Home Trade Private Limited company
A	When was the statement recorded?	regarding securities, equity
B	Was it recorded near where they resided?	shares, and other shares. Accused
C	If not near the residence, what are the details of where it was recorded?	numbers 1 to 4 have been arrested, and accused numbers 1 to
18	If there are witnesses, do they support the eyewitness?	3 held responsible positions with authority
19	If the accused was not identified at the scene, but later identified, what are the details?	signatures, such as director and vice president. Due to this, Nand Kishore
20	When was the identification made?	Trivedi, Kanan Mevawala, and Ketan
21	Details of the recovery/discovery of the weapon used in the crime	Sheth were present in the board meeting to buy and sell
	Were there bloodstains?	securities, where

	Were there bloodstains on the accused's clothes during recovery/discovery?	deals were finalized through contract notes, and previous transactions were executed.
22	Blood group of the deceased/injured person	
23	Blood group of the accused	Subsequently, a
24	Is a DD (Daily Diary) recorded?	security with a face value of
25	If yes, how many times?	₹27,50,00,000 at an ROI of 8.07% for 2017 was made through contract notes 7165 and 7143. The consideration amount of ₹28,75,21,758.33 was trusted, leading to a criminal breach of trust. This security was taken away, and the amount as per the contract note for the security and upper

		amount should have been paid, which was not done. Ketan Maskariya, who was the money market officer in the Debt Market Security Department and prepared the contract note, had a counter signature and wrote the auction letter. Accused Ketan Ramesh Maskariya on 25/12/2002 and such a contract note as well as physical security worth ₹9,50,00,00,000 was given based on Kanan Mevawala's statements and
--	--	---

		directed by the Home Trade director, and the upper security was taken away. According to Kanan Mevawala's statements, those deals were sent to that bank. In this case, the security taken away and the money received from the bank was not returned as per the contract note, and that amount was used for other purposes. Each of the accused assisted each other in planning and committing the crime.
26	Face-to-face interactions	

27	Time and place	
28	Is there a cross-case filed? If yes, what are the details and is the informant an accused?	
29	Did the accused sustain injuries during the Incident? If yes, what type of injury and is there medical evidence for it?	
30	Is there an extra-judicial confession by any accused? If yes, against whom and what are the details?	
31	Is there confusion of the accused under Section 16 of the Cr. P. C?	
32	If the case has circumstantial evidence:	
A	Which witness last saw the accused and the deceased together?	
B	Purpose	

C	Behaviour of the accused	
D	Opportunity to commit murder	
E	Recovery/discovery panchanamu of the weapon with bloodstains, blood group	
F	Other details	
33	Does the accused have a prior history of involvement in criminal activities?	
34	Is the investigation of the crime ongoing?	Yes
35	Has a charge sheet been filed? If yes, what is the date?	Supplementary Charge sheet No. 33/03 date 24/03/03 against Subodh Chand Dayal Bhandari
36	Has the remand application been removed?	
37	Possible date to start judicial proceedings	

38	Has a bail application been made by the accused? If yes, what is the number and order regarding it?	Yes. Accused Ketan Kantilal Sheth, Sanjay Agrawal, Subodh Bhandari applied bail application in sessions court but it was cancelled
39	Has another or multiple bail applications been made by the accused, and if the honourable court has rejected it, are the reasons for bail rejection stated?	Bail application cancelled
40	Other necessary details:	

Incidents related to Home Trade have been registered in Maharashtra in places such as Pune, Nagpur, Mumbai, Wardha, Osmanabad, and in Gujarat at Surat Udhna Police Station, Varachha, Navsari, Anand, etc. In these cases, Ketan Ramesh Maskariya has served as a Money Market Officer in the Debt Market Securities for Home Trade. He took an assessment letter

dated 20/7/2001, a letter dated 05/09/01, contract note numbers 6847, 6851, 6853, 6577, 6855, 6849, an assessment letter dated 6/11/2001, a transfer letter on will, and a physical security GI-O worth ₹95 crore dated 25/1/2002 from Valsad along with an authorization letter. He has signed counter signatures on contract notes and completed important tasks on the above-mentioned letters. Accused Sanjay Agrawal, Ketan Sheth, Subodh Bhandari, Nand Kishore Trivedi, and others as directors, along with Kanak Mevawala, collaborated to gain people's trust and entered into a security contract of face value ₹275 crore with Valsad People's Bank. They took the original security from Valsad People's Bank and sold it to other banks at market value, using the money elsewhere instead of repaying it to the Valsad bank or fulfilling the security contract note, causing losses to Valsad People's Bank. Among the accused, Sanjay Agrawal, Ketan Sheth, and Subodh Bhandari are in judicial custody without having received regular bail. If accused Ketan Ramesh Maskeriya

is released on bail, there is no likelihood that the bank's funds will be recovered in any way. No material evidence has been recovered in this case. Thus, it is not advisable to release Ketan Ramesh Maskeriya on bail. Therefore, I affirm with this affidavit that, based on my knowledge obtained during my investigation, Ketan Ramesh Maskeriya should not be released on bail.

Date: 08/07/2003

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city Police Station

Out ward No.: 2025/2003

Office of the Police

Inspector

Valsad city police station

Date: 09/07/2003

To,

Police Superintendent

Valsad.

Subject: Regarding Permission to Attend High
Court

It is the request report of the L D Sosa,
Police Sub Inspector, Valsad city Police
Station that,

In the matter mentioned above, it is to be
stated that in Valsad City Police Station's
register number 119/02, accused Subodh
Bhandari, involved in a case under I. P. C
sections 406, 409, 402, 430, 467, etc., has
filed a bail application in the High Court. The
last hearing was scheduled for 26/6/2003,
attended by ASI Pravinbhai Chaudhary. The next

hearing date for the bail application in the High Court is 10/7/2003. Therefore, I request permission for myself and a police constable to attend the High Court on that date. This is known to you sir.

Copy forwarded through:

Through Police Inspector, Valsad city police station date: 09/07/03

Copy forwarded with respect to:

Towards police superintendent, Valsad

It is requested to give permission as requested to appear in the High Court.

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city Po. St.

Out ward No.:

Valsad city

police Station

Valsad

Date: 18/07/03

To,

Police Superintendent

Valsad (LCB Branch),

Valsad District.

Subject: Regarding Sending Signatures and
Expert Analysis in the Valsad City
Police Station Case Number 119/02

It is the request report of the L D Sosa,
Police Sub Inspector, Valsad city Police
Station that,

The subject matter mentioned above states
that in Valsad City Police Station Register No.
119/02, under I. P. C Sections 420, 421, 422,

406, 409, 120-B, and 34, Home Trade's officials - namely Sanjay Agrawal, Ketan Sheth, Nand Kishore Trivedi, Subodh Bhandari, Kanan Mevawala, and Ketan Maskariya signed a contract note. Numbers 5 and 6 countersigned and engaged in security transactions worth ₹2,750,000,000 based on the contract note. However, according to the contract, the securities and the corresponding funds were not provided, resulting in criminal breach of trust and fraud.

In this matter, it is requested that the signatures from the relevant documents be sent to the handwriting and signature experts in Ahmedabad for verification.

A. Thirty-six sample signatures of the accused, Ketan Ramesh Maskariya, labelled A1 to A5, which are sealed in a cover.

B. The original contract note No. 6577 signed by the accused, Ketan Maskariya, marked as "B," and sealed in a cover.

C.The natural handwriting of the accused,
Ketan Maskariya, marked as "C" and, sealed
in a cover.

The above-mentioned sealed covers, along
with a questionnaire report and checklist
attached herewith, should be sent to the
handwriting and signature experts at Ahmedabad
FSL for examination and comparison of the
signatures and counter signatures.

Date: 18/07/03

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city po. St.

Valsad

No. LCB/Ha.Ni./169/2003

Office of the police

Superintendent,

Valsad,

Date: 19/07/2003

To,

Chief Signature Expert

Handwriting and Photographic Bureau,

O-11, New Mental Compound,

Meghaninagar, Gujarat State,

Ahmedabad.

Subject: Regarding the submission of seized documents for investigation in the case registered under Valsad City Police Station Register No. 11902, under I. P. C Sections 406, 420, 421, 409, 467, 120B, and 34.

Reference: As per Valsad city police station out ward No. 2105/2003, date: 18/07/2003

Regarding the subject mentioned above, it is stated that in Valsad City Police Station

Crime Register No. 119/02, under I. P. C Sections 406, 420, 421, 409, 467, 120B, and 34, the seized disputed documents, sample signatures, natural documents, etc., have been enclosed in sealed covers and sent herewith. The documents are being forwarded along with the report and checklist from the Police Sub-Inspector of Valsad City Police Station. Kindly examine these documents and provide your opinion in writing.

Enclosure: Sealed cover -3

Sd/-

Police Superintendent

Valsad

Copy forwarded to,

Police Inspector

Valsad City Po. St.

2/- for information

Affidavit

Police Station	Valsad city I crime registration No. 119/02
Sections	Sections 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 409, 406, 120B, 34
1	Is there a red or brown pencil mark circling the disputed portion, writing, or signature that needs to be examined? "Red pen" Yes
2	As per Rule 165 of Part Three of the Mumbai Police Manual, has each disputed section been assigned a separate section label, such as D-1, D-2, D-3, etc.? Yes
3	Have the collected sample documents been assigned specific sections such as S-1, S-2, S-3, etc.? Yes
4	Have the typical letter forms or natural handwriting samples for each individual, as observed in their daily routine activities, been obtained? Yes

5	Is each natural handwriting sample circled for clear identification?	Yes
6	Have each of the natural handwriting samples been assigned specific sections, such as N-1, N-2, N-3, etc.? As per Rule 165 of the Mumbai Police Manual, the natural documents of each individual have been examined.	Yes
Note: In the case of Valsad City Police Station Crime Register Number 119/02, a contract note was executed for the purchase of securities worth ₹27,50,00,000 with our bank, in which the accused Ketan Rameshbhai Maskariya had counter-signed the contract note. This matter is regarding the investigation of that counter signature.		
7	Were the samples collected for comparison with the disputed documents taken on paper similar to that of the disputed documents?	Yes
8	If signatures are present on the disputed documents, were the	No

	sample signatures collected using a pen?	
9	If the disputed documents were written with a ballpoint pen, were the sample signatures also collected using a ballpoint pen?	Yes
10	If the disputed documents were written with a pencil, were the sample signatures collected using a pencil as well?	No
11	Have samples been taken in the same language as that used in the writing of the disputed documents?	Yes
12	Have samples been taken in the same style as that used in the writing of the disputed documents?	Yes
13	Have the samples been collected?	Yes
14	Is the report sent in a letter?	Yes
15	In the report, the following are included regarding the disputed documents: 1. Sample documents 2. Provided natural documents	Original contract Note No. 6577 Yes

16	Mumbai police part 3, rule 165	Yes
17	Is detailed information about each disputed document presented in the report?	Yes
18	Is the summary questionnaire included in the report?	Yes
19	Sufficient samples of documents have been collected. For each disputed signature, one signature was taken on a single sheet of paper, resulting in a total of 36 signatures across six sheets. Additionally, for each disputed writing, one sample was taken on a single sheet of paper, totalling six sheets for the writing samples.	Yes
20	Have the documents been preserved and sealed in a cover?	Yes
21	Have the documents been sent with the detailed certification as per the above-mentioned rules?	No

22	If any part of the document is torn, please provide details about it.	No
23	Have the sample documents been taken in the presence of witnesses? Is the investigating officer's signature on each of the sample documents?	Yes
24	Is the photo state copy attached with this? Illegible	No
25	Is the carbon copy attached with this? Illegible	No
26	The disputed documents are given in the office where the opinion is given. If the answer is yes then the conflicting document should not normally be re-checked and sent.	No

Sign and designation
of Investigation
officer:

Sd/-

L D Sosa

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city

Office of the police

Superintendent

Valsad city

217

Out ward No.: 2108/2003

Office of the police station

Valsad city police Station

Date: 18/07/2003

To,

Police Superintendent,

Valsad District, Valsad.

Subject: Regarding the matter of granting
permission to go to the High Court.

It is the request report of the L D Sosa,
Police Sub Inspector, Office of the police
superintendent, Valsad city,

Regarding the subject mentioned above, it
is stated that in the case registered under
Valsad City Police Station Crime Register No.
119/02, the bail application for the accused,
Subodh Bhandari, under MCA 3910/03 dated
16/07/2003, and in the case registered under
Vapi GIDC Police Station Crime Register No.
87/02, under MCA 2448/03 dated 16/07/2003, has
now been revised as follows:

In the case of Valsad City Police Station Crime Register No. 119/02, the new date for MCA 3910/03 has been set for 29/07/2003, and the Government Public Prosecutor, Mr. Oza, has been called to clarify the facts on 28/07/2003.

In the case of Vapi GIDC Police Station Crime Register No. 87/02, the new date for MCA 2448/03 is now set for 23/07/2003. Therefore, permission is requested to proceed to the High Court for both of the above-mentioned cases.

Date: 19/07/2003

My name is Chetanbhai Ramanbhai Desai, age: 49, occupation: Job, Address: Valsad, in the house of the Valsad Peoples Bank,

I would like to state that the previously written statement is correct and accurate. Today, in person, Chairman Sameer Shah and Sameer Zaveri were present, and regarding this case, the accused Hiten Bhupendra Shah mentioned in F. I. R. under entry number 5 and Shilpa Hiten Shah under entry number 14 were questioned. You questioned Hiten Shah on 28/06/2003, and Shilpa Hiten Shah's response was recorded on 04/07/2016. After reviewing their responses, it appears that they are not involved in Home Trade. Nonetheless, during our in-person discussion, it was conveyed that there is no indication of any role in the Home Trade case, and if any involvement or role is discovered during the investigation, I will present it.

This is my statement of fact.

Sd/-
Police Sub Inspector
Valsad City.

Date: 19/07/2003

My name is Hiten Bhupendra Shah, Age: 38, occupation: job, Address: 102, Gadgil Niwas, Santacruz, Mumbai.

I would like to state that the statements recorded on 28/07/2013 and 04/07/2003 are correct and accurate.

Today, upon further questioning from you, I would like to state that there were three people present with you, and they introduced themselves: Chairman Sameer Shah, Director Sameer Zaveri, and Manager Ajit Desai. In their presence and in my presence, you reviewed my and Shilpa's statements, and upon questioning in their presence, I would like to clarify that in 1996, the company had sold NRI shares through Loped Brokerage, and funds were sent to them, under the advisory of Nand Kishore, who was the company's Vice President and worked whenever required. I was introduced to Sanjay Agrawal through Nand Kishore at an office near the Mial Court at Nariman Point. After 1996, Nand Kishore Trivedi and Sanjay Agrawal either met again for other purposes or for Loped

Brokerage, which later became Euro Asian. As for Euro Asian becoming Home Trade, I have no knowledge, and neither my wife nor I hold any position or involvement as a director, officer, or any other role in Home Trade. In 1997-98, Nand Kishore Trivedi had called, stating that he was forming a limited company that required seven shareholders, and requested to list our names as shareholders, for which he provided the necessary share funds. I did not provide any funds for the shares, nor do I possess share certificates, and I am unaware of their dates, but this is unrelated to Home Trade. I do not know Ketan Sheth, Subodh Bhandari, Kanan Mevawala, or Ketan Maskariya, nor any of their directors, and I have not conducted any activities with them. Upon investigation in the presence of the bank manager, chairman, and director, it was clarified that neither my wife Shilpa nor I have any involvement in any offense related to Home Trade. The information linking us is false.

This is my fact.

Sd/-

222

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city

(223)

Date: 19/07/2003

My name is Shilpa Hitenbhai Shah, Age: 38, occupation: Housework, Address: 102, Gadgil Niwas, Santacruz, Mumbai-55.

Asking in person I write that I live with my family and do housework at the address shown above.

Today, upon further questioning, I would like to confirm that my statement recorded on 4/07/2003 is accurate and truthful. Additionally, in response to today's questions, I clarify that I do not know any office-bearers of Home Trade, nor do I hold any directorial position or any role within the company. My name has been wrongly included by someone. I do not know Sanjay Hariray, Nand Kishore Trivedi, Ketan Sheth, Kanan Mevawala, or Ketan Maskariya, and I have never seen them. I have never visited the Valsad People's Bank, nor do I know anyone associated with it. My name has been falsely included. I have completed a B.Com degree and have never been employed.

This is my fact.

Sd/-

224

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city

Out ward No.

L.D./tapas/116/270/53

L D Sosa, PSI,

Office of the

Police superintendent,

Valsad city

Valsad, Date: 19/07/2003

To,

1.Hitenbhai Bhupendrabhai Shah

2.Shilpaben Hiten Shah

Address of both: 102, Gadgil Niwas,
Santacruz, Mumbai 55

Subject: Regarding presence in Investigation
Case

With reference to the above-mentioned subject, it is informed that in Crime Register Number 119/02 of Valsad City Police Station, under I. P. C Sections 420, 421, 422, 430, 467, 120B, and 34, your name appears as the fifth and fourteenth accused in an F. I. R. filed against you in Valsad City, and we have been conducting investigations till today. On

07/07/03, despite complainant Chetan Bhai submitting written evidence against you, he has not presented any evidence before the court to date. Nevertheless, today, after questioning the complainant, Chetan Bhai (Manager of Valsad People's Bank), along with Bank Chairman Sameer Shah and Director Sameer, it has been stated that you are not involved in the Home Trade case. Thus, until today, no solid evidence has been found against you in this investigation. Currently, this statement has been recorded, and additional accused in the investigation are yet to be apprehended. Therefore, in this investigation, if the court or investigating agency presents evidence against you or if any evidence is found, further action will be taken. You are required to appear in person whenever summoned or informed by the police official. If any negligence occurs in this regard, legal action will be taken against you, and you are being notified of this in writing from today.

Date: 19/07/03

Sd/-

227

Police Sub Inspector
Valsad City police Station
Office of the Police superintendent
Valsad

No. C.B.M. high court

mudat/6690/2003

Office of the police

superintendent

Valsad

Date: 22/07/2003

Respected,

Mr. L D Sosa, PSI, office of the police Superintendent, Valsad city police Station, Valsad, is granted permission to be present in Gujarat High Court, Ahmedabad, for the Valsad City Police Station Crime Register Number 119/02 under MCA 3910/3 on the date 29/07/2003, as well as for the Vapi GIDC Police case Crime Register Number 87/02 under MCA 2448/03 on the date 23/07/2003. The police control should be informed about the visit to the honourable court.

To,

PSI, L D Sosa

office of the police Superintendent,

Valsad city police Station, Valsad

Sd/-

On behalf of the
Police Superintendent

To,
Chief Signature Expert
Handwriting and Photographic Bureau
O-11, New Mental Compound,
Meghaninagar, Gujarat State,
Ahmedabad.

Subject: In the case registered under I
Crime Register Number 119/02 at
Valsad City Police Station, under
I.P. C Sections 406, 420, 421, 409,
467, 120B, and 34, an opinion is
requested regarding the comparison of
the accused's signature sample with
natural handwriting and disputed
documents.

It is the request report of the L D Sosa,
Police Sub Inspector, Office of the police
superintendent, Valsad city

This is to inform everyone regarding the
subject matter mentioned above. In the Valsad
City Police Station Crime Register Number
119/02, a case was registered on 6/6/2002 under

I. P. C Sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 467, 120B, and 34. The facts of the case are that the complaint was filed by Chetan Ramanbhai Desai, Manager of Valsad People's Cooperative Bank. In this case, the accused Ketan Kantilal Sheth, Sanjay Hariram Agrawal, Nand Kishore Shankarlal Trivedi, Subodh Dayal Bhandari, and Kanan Mevawala had registered Home Trade Private Limited Company. The company conducted transactions involving government securities bought and sold from the bank, as per contract notes, totaling ₹27,50,00,000 in securities transactions. These contract notes were prepared by the aforementioned individuals, who committed criminal breach of trust and fraud against Valsad People's Bank in relation to the said amount. Contract note number 6577 and other contract notes were signed by the aforementioned individuals, and Ketan Rameshbhai Maskariya, who worked as a Money Market Officer in the Debt Security Department at Home Trade, prepared the contracts. (A) The contract note was counter-signed, and the original contract note marked as "B" was signed

as a counter-signature. It has been marked with a red circle and sealed in contract note number 6577. (B) The sample signatures of the accused, Ketan Rameshbhai, taken on separate papers in the presence of witnesses, are sealed and marked from "A" to A/5. (C) Mark "C" contains the natural handwriting of the accused, Ketan Rameshbhai Maskariya, in English, circled in red ink, and is sealed.

The above-mentioned samples are sealed and marked "A" and "B," and the natural handwriting is marked by the Police Inspector of Valsad City Police Station and sealed. The original document, which is contract note number 6570, has been counter-signed by the accused, Ketan Maskariya, with a red circle drawn around it.

Furthermore, the sealed signature samples from "A" to A/5 contain 36 samples and are sealed, while the natural handwriting is sealed in an envelope marked "C." The original contract note also contains the counter-signature. You are requested to provide your clear opinion after comparing the samples of

signatures marked from "A" to A/5 and the natural handwriting marked "C."

"Questionnaire"

1. Are the signature samples marked "A" to A/5 made by the same individual? Is it indeed their signature?
2. Does the counter-signature marked "B" on the original contract note match the signature samples from "A" to A/5? Is it from the same individual?
3. Are the signature samples from "A" to A/5, the counter-signature on the original document marked "B," and the natural handwriting marked "C" all from the same individual?

You are requested to conduct an examination of the aforementioned disputed signatures and natural handwriting samples and provide your necessary clear opinion.

Copy forwarded to,

Through Police Inspector, Valsad city

234

Copy forwarded W.R.T.

Police Superintendent Valsad city

Date: 18/07/03

Enclosure:

1. Seal cover of A to A5
2. Seal cover of mark B
3. Seal cover of mark C
4. Three checklists including one original and two copies

Affidavit

Police Station	Valsad city I crime registration No. 119/02		
Sections	Sections 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 409, 406, 120B, 34		
1	Is there a red or brown pencil mark circling the disputed portion, writing, or signature that needs to be examined?	"Red pen" Yes	
2	As per Rule 165 of Part Three of the Mumbai Police Manual, has each disputed section been	Yes	

	assigned a separate section label, such as D-1, D-2, D-3, etc.?	
3	Have the collected sample documents been assigned specific sections such as S-1, S-2, S-3, etc.?	Yes
4	Have the typical letter forms or natural handwriting samples for each individual, as observed in their daily routine activities, been obtained?	Yes
5	Is each natural handwriting sample circled for clear identification?	Yes
6	Have each of the natural handwriting samples been assigned specific sections, such as N-1, N-2, N-3, etc.? As per Rule 165 of the Mumbai Police Manual, the natural documents of each individual have been examined.	Yes

Note: In the case of Valsad City Police Station Crime Register Number 119/02, a contract note was executed for the purchase of securities worth ₹27,50,00,000 with our bank, in which the accused Ketan Rameshbhai Maskariya had counter-signed the contract note. This matter is regarding the investigation of that counter signature.

7	Were the samples collected for comparison with the disputed documents taken on paper similar to that of the disputed documents?	Yes
8	If signatures are present on the disputed documents, were the sample signatures collected using a pen?	No
9	If the disputed documents were written with a ballpoint pen, were the sample signatures also collected using a ballpoint pen?	Yes



10	If the disputed documents were written with a pencil, were the sample signatures collected using a pencil as well?	No
11	Have samples been taken in the same language as that used in the writing of the disputed documents?	Yes
12	Have samples been taken in the same style as that used in the writing of the disputed documents?	Yes
13	Have the samples been collected?	Yes
14	Is the report sent in a letter?	Yes
15	In the report, the following are included regarding the disputed documents: 1. Sample documents 2. Provided natural documents	Original contract Note No. 6577 Yes
16	Mumbai police part 3, rule 165	Yes

17	Is detailed information about each disputed document presented in the report?	Yes
18	Is the summary questionnaire included in the report?	Yes
19	Sufficient samples of documents have been collected. For each disputed signature, one signature was taken on a single sheet of paper, resulting in a total of 36 signatures across six sheets. Additionally, for each disputed writing, one sample was taken on a single sheet of paper, totalling six sheets for the writing samples.	Yes
20	Have the documents been preserved and sealed in a cover?	Yes
21	Have the documents been sent with the detailed	No

	certification as per the above-mentioned rules?	
22	If any part of the document is torn, please provide details about it.	No
23	Have the sample documents been taken in the presence of witnesses? Is the investigating officer's signature on each of the sample documents?	Yes
24	Is the photo state copy attached with this? Illegible	No
25	Is the carbon copy attached with this? Illegible	No
26	The disputed documents are given in the office where the opinion is given. If the answer is yes then the conflicting document should not normally be re-checked and sent.	No

240

Date: 19/07/2003

Sign and Designation
of Investigation
officer:

Sd/-

L D Sosa

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city

Office of the police Superintendent

Valsad city

241

Affidavit

Police Station	Valsad city I crime
	registration No. 119/02
Sections	Sections 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 409, 406, 120B, 34
1	Is there a red or brown pencil mark circling the disputed portion, writing, or signature that needs to be examined?
	"Red pen" Yes
2	As per Rule 165 of Part Three of the Mumbai Police Manual, has each disputed section been assigned a separate section label, such as D-1, D-2, D-3, etc.?
	Yes
3	Have the collected sample documents been assigned specific sections such as S-1, S-2, S-3, etc.?
	Yes
4	Have the typical letter forms or natural handwriting samples for each individual,
	Yes

(242)

	as observed in their daily routine activities, been obtained?	
5	Is each natural handwriting sample circled for clear identification?	Yes
6	Have each of the natural handwriting samples been assigned specific sections, such as N-1, N-2, N-3, etc.? As per Rule 165 of the Mumbai Police Manual, the natural documents of each individual have been examined.	Yes
Note: In the case of Valsad City Police Station Crime Register Number 119/02, a contract note was executed for the purchase of securities worth ₹27,50,00,000 with our bank, in which the accused Ketan Rameshbhai Maskariya had counter-signed the contract note. This matter is regarding the investigation of that counter signature.		

7	Were the samples collected for comparison with the disputed documents taken on paper similar to that of the disputed documents?	Yes
8	If signatures are present on the disputed documents, were the sample signatures collected using a pen?	No
9	If the disputed documents were written with a ballpoint pen, were the sample signatures also collected using a ballpoint pen?	Yes
10	If the disputed documents were written with a pencil, were the sample signatures collected using a pencil as well?	No
11	Have samples been taken in the same language as that used in the writing of the disputed documents?	Yes

246

12	Have samples been taken in the same style as that used in the writing of the disputed documents?	Yes
13	Have the samples been collected?	Yes
14	Is the report sent in a letter?	Yes
15	In the report, the following are included regarding the disputed documents: 1. Sample documents 2. Provided natural documents	Original contract Note No. 6577 Yes
16	Mumbai police part 3, rule 165	Yes
17	Is detailed information about each disputed document presented in the report?	Yes
18	Is the summary questionnaire included in the report?	Yes
19	Sufficient samples of documents have been collected. For each disputed	Yes

215

	signature, one signature was taken on a single sheet of paper, resulting in a total of 36 signatures across six sheets. Additionally, for each disputed writing, one sample was taken on a single sheet of paper, totalling six sheets for the writing samples.	
20	Have the documents been preserved and sealed in a cover?	Yes
21	Have the documents been sent with the detailed certification as per the above-mentioned rules?	No
22	If any part of the document is torn, please provide details about it.	No
23	Have the sample documents been taken in the presence of witnesses? Is the	Yes

	investigating officer's signature on each of the sample documents?	
24	Is the photo state copy attached with this? Illegible	No
25	Is the carbon copy attached with this? Illegible	No
26	The disputed documents are given in the office where the opinion is given. If the answer is yes then the conflicting document should not normally be re-checked and sent.	No

Date: 19/07/2003

Sign and designation of

Investigation officer:

Sd/-

L D Sosa

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city

Office of the police

Superintendent

Valsad city

Police Inspector

Valsad city police

Inspector

Date: 30/07/2003

To,

Police Superintendent

Valsad district, Valsad

Subject: Matter for permission to go to the
High Court

It is the request report of the L D Sosa,
Police Sub Inspector, Valsad city Police
Station that,

In relation to the above subject, it is to
inform you that in the Valsad City Police
Station Crime Register Number 119/02, in the
case with MCA Number 3910/03, the bail
application of the accused Subodh Bhandari was
scheduled for hearing on the date 29/07/2003,
in which we were present. However, the hearing
did not take place, and it has been postponed
to the upcoming date of 1/8/2003. Therefore,

permission is requested to allow attendance on 31/7/2003 with a police constable.

Additionally, in the Vapi GIDC Police Station Crime Register Number 87/02, in the case with MCA Number 2448/03, the hearing was scheduled for 23/07/03. However, as it was a final order, it was not conducted, and the next date is set for 6/8/2003. Since there is a need to change the lawyer, the public prosecutor has indicated that we should meet with them on 5/8/2003. Therefore, we kindly request permission to attend Ahmedabad High Court on 5/8/2003, accompanied by a police constable.

Date: 30/09/03

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad city Po. St.

Copy forwarded W.R.T.

Through Police Inspector, Valsad.

Outward no. 2251/2003

Office of Police

Inspector,

Valsad City Police

Station,

Date :04/08/2003.

To,

Superintendent of Police,

Valsad Dist. Valsad.

Subject: Matter for permission to proceed for
Investigation.

Request Report of L D SOSA Police Sub
Inspector Valsad City,

With reference to the subject mentioned above I would like to state that Valsad City Crime Registration No I - I. P. C section 406, 420 has to investigate the accused and other important investigation is to be done at Navi Mumbai and Mumbai so it is requested to provide one police constable along with us for the investigation and permit him to join us during the said investigation.

First Dispatch :- Police Inspector Office



Date : 4/8/03

Sd/-

Police Inspector Office

Valsad City

Police Station.

With due respect forwarded to:

District Superintendent of Police

Valsad

Date : 4/8/03.

Sd/-

Police Inspector

Valsad City Police

Station

District Valsad

Outward no. 2317/2003

Office of Police Inspector,

Valsad City Police Station

Date : 12/08/2003.

To,

Superintendent of Police,

Valsad Dist. Valsad.

Subject: Grant permission to appear before
Honourable High Court.

Reference : Letter of the Office of Government
Pleader, Gujarat High Court Sola,
Ahmedabad dated 7/8/03.

Request Report of L D SOSA Police Sub
Inspector Valsad City that,

With reference to the above subject
Valsad City Police Station crime registration
No I - 119/02 I. P. C Section 406, 420, 409
,Gujarat High Court Ahmedabad dated 14/8/03

Accused Ketan Kantilal Seth has applied for bail for the appearance date of the same for which request is made for one police constable to go to Ahmedabad High Court may please be approved.

Date : 12/8/03.

Forwarded with respect through:

Police Inspector Valsad.

City Police station

Sd/-

Police sub Inspector

Valsad City Police Station.

To,
District Superintendent of Police
Valsad District Valsad
(Reader Branch).

Subject: Regarding forwarding progress report
of Valsad City I Crime. Reg. No.
119/02 under section 406, 409, 420
etc. of I. P. C

Request Report of L D SOSA Police Sub
Inspector Valsad City,

In view of the above, to state that,
Valsad City Police Station Crime Reg. No.
I - 119/02 in the case of the First F. I. R. a
case was registered against the accused on
6/6/02, in which the First Police Inspector G.
A. Shaikh and then Police Inspector M. J.
Parmar, Sp. Squard then Circle Police Inspector
K. G. Vaghela then Police Inspector Desai L.
C. B. and Police Sub Inspector B. R. Patel
investigated, and the First accused [1] Ketan
Kantilal Sheth resident of Mumbai dated 18/8/02
and [2] Sanjay Hari Ram Aggarwal dated lastly

11/09/02, Police Sub Inspector B. R. Patel prepared the charge sheet no 146/02 and sent the charge sheet to the Honourable Court and its C.C. No. is 2121/02 Dated 12/11/02. After handing over this investigation to us, we investigated the accused No. [3] Subodh Bhandari resident of Mumbai lastly on date 4/1/03 at 12:00 hrs and arrested him on suspicion and investigated against him and prepared Charge Sheet No. 33/03 dated 24/3/03, Filled up the charge sheet and sent the same to the Honourable court whose supplementary charge sheet was sent by No. 33/ 03 and is registered vide C. C. No. is 1238/03 dated 2/4/03.

After that on investigation of absconding accused among which accused Ketan Rameshbhai Maskariya, Res: Mumbai, in investigation received sufficient evidences, was arrested on 24.06.2003 and as we had enough evidences against him a supplementary charge sheet no 70 of 2003 dated 15.08.2003 is sent to Honourable Court.

This F. I. R. has been filed by the complainant in the form of an application and the application has been treated and admitted as an F. I. R.. In which the name of 19 accused were mentioned and written in the F. I. R., so that we can find out the responsibility of each of the accused in this crime and the role of each of the accused in this crime. Also the names of the accused in the application and written F. I. R. in the face of Chairman Sameerbhai Shah and Member of Management Committee Sameerbhai Zaveri.

[1] Hiren Udaybhai Gada Resident Porbandar Estate Mumbai

[2] Hiten Bhupendra Shah resident of 102 Gadgil Niwas Santacruz

[3] Shilpa Hiten Shah resident of 102 Gadgil Niwas Santacruz

In cross-examination of the accused No. (1) the accused who is employed in information and technology work in home trade and knows nothing about the security debt market has not signed any cheque, bond, contract note etc.

which is mentioned in the investigation and against him. No evidence found. And Accused No. (2) Hiten Bhupendra Shah (3) Shilpa Hiten Shah both residents of Mumbai have not done any job in home trade but worked with Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi in 1997 for a company called Lloyd Brokerage and consulted NRI share holders.

Apart from that, accused No. (2) has not done any work so he has no knowledge of what happened in home trade and previously worked in lathed brokerage due to which big F. I. R. in the name of No. (2) and No. (3). is stated to have arrived and accused No. (3) is carrying on business as a housewife and she was not holding any position of director or member or authority signatory of any home trade company and she has not signed any cheque, bond, contract note etc. In the investigation, no evidence was found against them.

However, for the investigation of No. (2) and No. (3), if any evidence found against No. (1), (2) and (3) is any evidence, the complainant was asked to submit a list in

writing and submit the evidence. Although No. (2) No. (3) No. Complainant Bank Manager Chetanbhai and Ward President Sameerbhai Shah and Managing Member Samirbhai Zaveri No. (2) and No. (3) have personally interrogated accused so that no evidence has been found against No. (1) Hiren Udaybhai Gada resident of Mumbai (2) Hiten Bhupendrabhai Shah (3) Shilpa Hiten Shah resident of Mumbai so that the accused have not been caught though any evidence is found in future at the place called by the officer. The work of investigation is understood in writing as in person.

This work has initiated the arrest of other absconding accused and in this case evidence Charge sheet has been sent against the accused Ketan Umesh Mashkaria resident of Mumbai to the Honourable court which will be processed.

Forwarded with respect

Police Inspector Saheb through Valsad City
Police Station

Police Sub Inspector,
Valsad Police Station.

259

Forwarded with respect

Police Sub Inspector

Office of Superintendent of Police.

To,
The Police Inspector,
LCB Branch,
Superintendent of Police Office,
Valsad.

Sub: The matter of cancelling the names of
absconding accused.

Reference : Police Authority's Office
Attendance Number
LCB/N.F.T/238/2003, dated
20/03/03.

Request Report of L D SOSA Police Sub
Inspector Valsad City,

According to the above subject reference
Valsad City Police Station crime registration
No I-119/02 I. P. C Section 406, 409, 420, 421,
422, 423, 467, 120B, 34 in which the
complainant Bank Manager, Chetan Bhai Ramanbhai
Desai, Resi. Valsad, As the First application
was prepared, In this way, the names of 19
accused were written and without knowing how
the accused were treated with and what was

their role in the crime, he filed an application and the same application in which the names of 19 accused were written in the First application was treated as an F. I. R. and in which the First police inspector Shaikh, police inspector M. L. Parmar, Circle Police Inspector Shri Vaghela, Police Inspector LCB Shri Desai and Police Sub Inspector Shri V. R. Patel investigated and First charge sheet no 146/02 dated 11/11/02 was filed against the accused (1) Ketan Kantilal Sheth (2) Sanjay Hariram Agrawal, were not arrested and are declared as absconded among which, what is the role of each and every accused? And what is their role in the said crime was not disclosed. We have inquired and investigated all the accused minutely, and have personally investigated the complainant Bank Manager, and also the Bank Manager was investigated personally in presence of the President, no evidences were found for the below mentioned accused for the said crime. Therefore the accused cannot be arrested as there are no documentary evidences, or oral evidences or

visual evidences found against the accused. So no evidences were found against the the below mentioned accused no 2 and 3 as no evidences were found against them after personal investigation of the complainant Bank Manager, Directors and President. And no evidences were found against the accused No 1, so from the aforesaid support the absconders from the revised list at Sr No 84: Hitesh Bhupendra Shah, Res: Mumbai, Sr No 88: Shilpa Hiten Shah, Res: Mumbai and Sr No 80: Ketan Ramesh Maskariya, names in the revised list of absconders should be deleted. Also no evidences were found against the below mentioned persons so their names should also be deleted from the revised list of absconders and Sr No 80: Ketan Ramesh Maskariya from Mumbai was arrested as per the procedure on dated 24.06.2003 therefore his name should also be deleted from the absconders list.

- (1) Ketan Ramesh Maskariya, Res: Mumbai is arrested.

- (2) Hiren Udaybhai Gada, Res: Porbandar State, Mumbaiwala, no evidences were found and therefore not arrested.
- (3) Hiten Bhupendra Shah
- (4) Shilpa Hiten Shah, Both Sr no 3 and 4 residing at Mumbai, no evidences found therefore not arrested.

So their names should be deleted.

Date: 15.08.2003

FINAL REPORT**(Optional at State and District Level)**

Police station Valsad city. Case F.I.R. No. I-119/02 of I. P. C 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 120(B), 34 U/S Police Station _____ No. of First cognizance of crime _____ and _____ Section.

COMPLAINT

(a) Case classified true or false : True

(b) No further clue for detection of the case could be obtained. The case was, therefore classified as true but Undetected.

: Charge Sheet 146/02 dated 11/7/02

Supplementary charge Sheet 33/03 dated 24/3/03

Supplementary Charge Sheet 79/03 dated 15/8/03

(c) The following further clue was obtained recused And property (but as the accused could not be traced, The case was classified as true but undetected:

(1) Ketan Kantilal Sheth Resi. Mumbai

(2) Sanjay Hariram Aggarwal Resi. Mumbai

(3) Subodh Chand Dayal Bhandari Resi. Mumbai

(4) Ketan Ramesh Bhai Maskaria arrested from Mumbai.

Charge sheet is prepared against Accused no 1 and 2 and supplementary charge sheet is also prepared against both.

1. Property stolen Rs. _____.

Recovered Rs. _____ and nature.

2. Persons wanted or arrested and on what Information (description, relations for to be given in criminal History sheet). :

With Valsad People's Bank, the accused committed the crime of fraud and treachery by buying and selling securities worth Rs. 26500000/-

3. Details of part played by each accused in commission Of the crime or in disposing of stolen property, and On what information from where property was recovered And which property:

4. Result of action taken against each accused.
(If sent up to court give court case No. also.):

C. C. No. 2121/02 date: 12/11/02

C. C. No. 1238/03 date: 21/04/03

5. If any of the accused was concerned in any other Cases, Po. St. Case no. and section of offence and Total sentence of each accused:

Surat City Vardha Police Station, Riyara Police Station, Randhera Police Station and in Navsari District and Kheda District etc. have been involved in financial security fraud.

Date: 15/08/03

Sd/-

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad City Police
Station.

Supplementary charge sheet summary

Supplement

1] charge sheet serial number : ____ previous
charge sheet no. 79/03 Date: 15/08/03

2] First Information number : Valsad city poli.
stat. crime reg. no I 119/02 Dt 6/6/2002

3] complainant or informant's name and address:
Mr. Chetanbhai Ramanlal Desai, from B.B.
shroff, Valsad People's co-op bank manager,
resident of Samruddhi, mota bazar, Valsad.

4] Accused: [a] Sent in the police custody:
(1) Ketan Rameshbhai maskariya age 30, resident
of Mumbai, 191B -5313 Gitanjali apartments,
Pantnagar, Dharavi east, Mumbai -400075, was
apprehended on 24/06/03 at 17:10 hrs, detained
as per procedure, and remanded to court from
25/06/03 to 02/07/03. Remand completed on
02/07/03, before which he was handed over to
the court.

[b] Left abroad:

(c) Not sent for judicial work due to lack of
evidence; accused not apprehended:

1] Hiren Udaybhai Gada, resident of Porbandar
Estate 141/b domskare Madgaon, Mumbai-10

2] Hiten Bhupendra Shah 3] Shilpa Hiten Shah, both residents of 102, Gadgil Bhavan, Wadola Bridge, Santacruz East, Mumbai-55 [5] Charges : Under I. P. C sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 120-B, 34, specifying that:

(i) in charge no. [1], allegations mentioned are specified, and in case no. [4] [a], (1) Ketan Kantilal Sheth, (2) Sanjay Hariram Agarwal were previously apprehended and charged under charge sheet no. 2121/02, as well as accused no. (3) Subodh Chand Dayal Bhandari against whom evidence-based supplementary charge sheet has been filed with Charge sheet no. 32/03.

All these stakeholders with the help of each other First registered Home Trade Limited Company from Euro Asian Security Limited in Pune, and failed to register with NSE and SEBI in Mumbai. They initiated the 'HOME TRADE' company in Vashi, Mumbai with 1] Ketan Kantilal Sheth as executive director, 2] Sanjay Hariram Agarwal as chairman and chief executive officer, and 3] Subodh Bhandari as senior vice

president under case no. [2], serial no. [1], the accused took positions as executive director and secretary with authority to sign. they managed transactions in various banks through multiple accounts opened under the Home Trade company in Mumbai, authorized for monetary dealings. In which (1) Ketan Kantilal Sheth Executive Director and (2) Sanjay Hariram Aggarwal Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and (3) Subodh Chand Dayal Bhandari Senior Vice President and accused No. (1) Executive Director and Secretary mentioned in Charge Sheet Column No. (2) Each holding the position of "Home Trade" company bank account in different banks in Mumbai with authorized signature to transact money in the account, so that during the period from 15/5/01 to 19/3/02 Contract Note No. 6573, 6885, 0677 etc. of Valsad, Seth Bhagwan Das Brij Bhagwan Das Shroff Peoples Co operative bank gave the contract note to the bank in which the above accused persons authorized signature and the accused mentioned in column number (4) prepared the contract note holding the position of Test

Market Security Money Market Officer Accused No. (6) stated in Charge Sheet Column No. (2) prepared a contract note bearing the designation of Manager in Small Test Market Security Department which on Contract Note No. 6847, 6851, 6856, 6577, 6855 and 6849 and also on the letter of buy-sell of the security and on the adjustment letter and on the transfer letter and on last date 25/1/02 came to Valsad People's Bank Rs.9,50,00,000/- G.I.O of taking security from the written letter of the above accused and at the request of the co-accused deposited the security in "Home Trade" company which was searched along with the banks. At the hint and help of his sent co-accused, the complainant prepared a false contract note to the bank in which he counter signed and signed the important document also accused No. (9), (10), (12) mentioned in charge sheet column No. (2) who are unclear stayed in foreign countries and gave advice and guidance to the co-accused and took Valsad People's Bank into confidence, all the accused kept in touch with each other and lured the First bank to buy government

security and its money as well as new bonds with the promise that they would get more profit and took the government security and cash for buying new bonds Rs. 11000000/- of face value purchased on Contract note of total Rs.27,50,00,000/- received on trust Contract Note No. 7165 dated 30/1/2002 and 7143 dated 13/3/2002, the accused did not pay the consideration amount of Rs 21,75,21,758.33 to the complainant bank, they gave wrong contract notes with the help of each other, generated wrong numbers of Reserve Bank of India and put those numbers of Reserve Bank on xerox papers of old security bonds, gave blank forms to the complainant bank, government security and Money given to take new security, by intentionally not paying that money to the complainant bank, fraudulently using that money elsewhere, fraudulently with holding the money due to the complainant bank, the accused mentioned in column number (4) prepared a false contract note and intentionally counter signed it, Rupees 28,75,21,758.33 each of the accused used the public money for their own personal

gain by obtaining the license of Government Security, although there was no balance in the account of the co-accused, different cheques amounting to Rs.28,75,21,758.33 in the name of the Federal Bank were given to the complainant bank which did not pass and the money was not paid to the bank and did fraud with the Bank and all the accused helped each other in the said crime and committed crime etc details regarding :

(6) Witnesses / Name of witnesses / Address :

Panch (1) Dhansukhbhai Ratilal Modi, resi.
Mota Bazar, Valsad

Panch (2) Vinodbhai Babubhai Mali, resi.
Mota Bazar, Valsad

Panch (3) Mansukhlal Anandji Dodhiya,
resi. Mahavir Society, Valsad.

Panch (4) Ishwarbhai Chhotubhai Ahir,
resi. Mujva shiv Faliya, Valsad.

Panch (5) Prakash Chand Premjibhai
Bhanushali, residing at Ramwadi,
Bhuvneshwari Apartment, Valsad.

Panch (6) Suresh Thakorbhai Tailor,
residing at Ganjkhana, Navkar Apartment,
Valsad.

Panch (7) Nilesh Manubhai Desai, residing
at Halar Vajifadar, Valsad.

Panch (8) Kanaiya Keshavaji Bhanushali,
residing at Ramwadi, Vrindavan Apartment,
Valsad.

Witness (9) Fatesing Morarbhai Thakor,
residing at Aradhana Society, Valsad.

Witness (10) Dharman Chandrakant Desai,
resi. beside Medicare Hospital, Valsad

Witness (11) Kiran alias Hemantbhai
Ramanbhai Desai, resi. at 11 Chayapujya
Society, Halar Road, Valsad

Witness (12) Nilesh Ramanbhai Modi, resi.
Khalihil 4 Valsad

Witness (13) Dipaben T.K. Surendra, resi.
Goregaon 2/B-605 Dheeraj Veli East,
Goregaon, Mumbai-63, Phone No. 140/012
Giltoj Management Vileparle, Mumbai.

Witness (14) K.S. Ved Bhalmurti, resi. U T
I Bank, VM road Port, Mumbai, Phone No.
7806902

Witness (15) Shivayogi Vashalraj Kumasar,
HDFC Bank Manager, resi. Tilakdham,
Kamroad Andheri West, Compound of Kamla
Mills.

Witness (16) Srikant Rameshwar Deshpande,
resi. Sahkar Nagar, Pune, Flat No. 1,
Gurukrupa Apartment (Janta Sahakari Bank),
currently at Dadar Sagar Bazaar Bank Guest
House.

Witness (17) Baby Abraham Payali [Senior
Manager], Federal Bank Co-op Branch 27
Machine Line, Moti Street Port, currently
at Andheri East 502, Federal Bank Staff
Quarters, Nirman Park House Society,
Andheri East, Mumbai.

Witness (18) S.D. Srinivasa, Deputy
General Manager, Reserve Bank of India,
Ahmedabad.

Witness (19) S. K. Mishra, Assistant
Manager, Reserve Bank of India, Ahmedabad.

Witness (20) Mohan Menan rusta James Engi.
Company Pro. Fund. Great Western B130,
132, resi. S. V. Road, Mumbai, Dated
30/1/103 with letter in fort Mumbai.

Witness (21) Somaiya Ajaykumar Dixit,
resi. at National Stock Exchange (NSE)
Plot No. Exchange _____, furl Complex
East, Mumbai.

Witness (22) Anita Conductor, Security and
Exchange Board of India (SEBI),
50M/R.B.A.12/A -1213092103

Witness (23) Rama Murti Sundreshan,
Director and authorized Signatory Shardul
Security LTD. 712,715 Tulsi Yami Sarewar,
Mumbai's letter.

Witness (24) S. V. Kasvokar, Trustee,
Penvolt India Limited, Om Bhojer Provident
Fund. D/221, M.I.D.C, Paris Industrial
Area, Thane Belapur Road, Navi Mumbai-
400706.

Witness (25) N.K. Sarhate, General
Manager, Sainik Sahakari Bank Ltd, Satara-
6, Shivaji Maharaj Circle, Satara 415001.

Witness (26) V.C. Shahji, Rusti
Contraction Ltd. Pro. Fund G 30 suyon L.
V. S. Road, Vikhroli West, Mumbai-40083.

Witness (27) Raghupati V.S. Rusti
Contraction Ltd. Pro. Fund G 30 suyon L.
V. S. Road, Vikhroli West, Mumbai-40083.

Witness (28) Roy paul Chief Manager Fedral
bank -27 lane home moti street fort,
Mumbai-40023.

Witness (29) Kamlesh Jagatia, Society
Signatory, 1313 Residential Center, Free
Press General Road, Nariman Point, Mumbai-
400021.

Witness (30) J.P. Agarwal, Repre., Kelon
Employees Super nation Fund, 5th Floor,

Metropolitan Bandra, Kurla East, Mumbai-400050.

Witness (31) S. Aacharya Rusti, U T I Bank Ltd., Central Office, McTower F-13th Floor, Colaba, Mumbai-400005.

Witness (32) B.K. Joshi, Rusti Garware Pollster Ltd, Senior Officer Staff and Pro. Fund Garware House, 50/A Swami Nityanand Road, Vile Parle East, Mumbai-400057.

Witness (33) Falguni Atul Ojha C/602 Mandakini Shiv Vallabh Road, Ravalpada East Mumbai-400001.

Witness (34) S.V. Kakori, Rusti, IVP Ltd., Grajuity Fund, Seth's House, Second Floor, J.A. Alpana Marg, Mumbai-400001.

Witness 35) Prabhakaran Manager Stock folding Co. Op. of India Ltd. Middle court B wing, 224 2nd Floor, Nariman Point, Mumbai-400021.

Witness (36) Ravjibhai Hanshajibhai, A.S.I. employed at Valsad City Police Station

Witness (37) G.A. Shaikh, Police
Inspector, Valsad City, currently in Surat
City

Witness (38) M.J. Parmar, Police
Inspector, Special force, Valsad,
currently

Witness (39) L.A. Desai, Police Inspector,
L.C.B., Valsad

Witness (40) V.M. Patel, Police Sub
Inspector, L.C.B., Valsad.

Witness (41) L D Sosa, Police Sub
Inspector, Valsad City.

(7) Received Information :- G.P. No. 68/03
dated 25/06/03 G.P. No. 71/03
dated 30/06/03 Pertaining details

(8) Dispatch Date: 15/08/03, Time: 17:10

Police Sub Inspector,
Valsad City.

Valsad City Police Station

LD Sosa Police Sub Inspector.

Date: 03/7/03.

To,

Judicial Magistrate First Class,

Valsad.

Subject: Regarding issuance of certified copies.

Request Report of L D SOSA Police Sub Inspector Valsad City that,

With reference to the above mentioned subject, it is respectfully submitted that Valsad City P.O. under Crime Reg. No. I-119/02 (I.P.C. Section 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 120B 34) involving accused Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi, resident of Mumbai, hereby requested to obtain a certified copy of the order passed on 02/07/2003 regarding Form 36 to obtain production warrant and have reminded for a production warrant on 01/07/2003.

Date : 03/07/03.

Note: Application dated 1/7/03, filed to obtain the above-mentioned documents, has been rejected. We request the issuance of certified copies of the rejection order.

Police Sub Inspector,
Valsad Police Station.

Fax message Office of Government Pleader,
Immediate / Time limit Gujarat High Court,
Fax : 7435808 Sola, Ahmedabad
Phone : 7498622 Date : 16/08/2003.

To,
District Police Officer,
Valsad.

GUJARAT HIGH COURT AHMEDABAD Criminal
Miscellaneous Application No. 6074/03 to the
Investigating Officer of Valsad City Police
Station Crime Register No. I-119/02 along with
all the papers and details of the case dated
4/9/03 at 10:30AM it is requested to be sent
before the Government Pleader Manishaben Shah
in the High Court.

Ketan Rameshbhai Maskaria,

Sd/-

Public Prosecutor,
High Court,
Ahmedabad.

No. :- CB-4/Crime

OFFICE OF THE POLICE OFFICER

Valsad, Date. : 18/8/03.

Original Copy Forwarded : - P.I. of Valsad city

2/- For taking necessary further
action after reading the message of Gujarat
High Court Sola Ahmedabad.

Sd/-

Police Superintendent,

Valsad City.

Police Inspector Shri Sosa

... illegible

Sd/-

19/08

Inward no. 1001/200

Office of Police Inspector

Valsad City Police Station

Date : 19/08/2003.

Fax message Office of Government Pleader,
Immediate / Time limit Gujarat High Court,
Fax : 7435808 Sola, Ahmedabad
Phone : 7498622 Date : 16/08/2003.

To,
District Police Officer,
Valsad.

GUJARAT HIGH COURT AHMEDABAD Criminal
Miscellaneous Application No. 4878/03 to the
Investigating Officer of Valsad City Police
Station Crime Register No. I-119/02 along with
all the papers and details of the case dated
29/8/03 at 10:30AM it is requested to be sent
before the Government Advocate Mr. Arunbhai Oza
Ranip (ALDJ) in the High Court.

Ketan Kantilal Sheth,
(Valsad People's Co. Op. Bank)

Public Prosecutor,
High Court,
Ahmedabad.

No. :- CB-4/Court Room 7767

OFFICE OF THE POLICE OFFICER

Valsad, Date. : 26/8/03.

Original Copy forwarded to: - P.I. of Valsad
city

2/- For taking necessary further
action in the crime registered under Valsad
city Polic Station.

Sd/-

Police Superintendent,

Valsad City.

Ordinary Application No. 1248/2003.

Advocate Mr. M.A. Patel applied for this copy
on 19th (nineteenth) September 2003.

Deputy Registrar,

(Record)

Date of completion of this application on 19th
(nineteenth) September 2003.

Deputy Registrar,

(Record)

The date on which this copy was prepared on
19th (nineteenth) September 2003.

Deputy Registrar,

(Record)

Date of issue of this copy on 19th (nineteenth)
September 2003.

Deputy Registrar,

(Record)

Total pages : Rs. 8

Rs. Paisa

Xerox fees : 6 : 00

Comparing Fees : 2 : 00

Total fees Rs : 8 : 00

Submission Date : 24/09/03

Admission Date : 24/09/03

Judgment Date : 26/08/03

Duration : YY 0 MM. 9 DD. 9

In the Court of the Additional Sessions Judge
of Valsad District, Valsad.

Cri Misc Rev App no. : 64/03

Exhibit 4

Applicant : Nagpur Government.

Versus

Respondent: Nand kishore Sankarlal Trivedi
Resident of Mumbai, currently in
Nagpur Sub Jail.

Learned Additional Public
Prosecutor Mitaben Patel for the
applicant.

::Judgment::

(1) The investigating officer under Valsad
City Police Station Crime Registration No. I -
119/02 I. P. C Section 420, 421, 422, 423,
120B, 34 filed an application dated 1/7/2003

before the Judicial Magistrate First Class, to obtain the custody of accused Nandkishor Shankarlal Trivedi who is under Ganesh Peth Police Station under First Crime Registration No. 101/02, Nagpur city, in the custody of the Judicial Magistrate. To obtain his custody under the provision of Section 267 of Criminal Procedure Code filed an application seeking execution of production warrant in Form No. 67 before the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Valsad which was rejected so filed an Revision Application to the government under section 397 of Criminal Procedure Code.

(2) The brief facts of the case are that, on the complaint Registered by Chetanbhai Ramanlal Desai before Valsad City Police Station under First Crime Registration No. 119/02, crimes punishable under I. P. C Sections 406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 120B and 34 have been registered. Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi and others are accused in this crime, but this Nand kishore under Ganesh Peth Police Station in the case of First Crime Registration No. 101/02 is in the Judicial

custody of Nagpur Judicial Magistrate Court, so the Investigating Officer has made the above application to bring them in this crime.

(3) The following issues arise for the decision of this application.

Points:

1. Whether this revised order passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Valsad is illegal, unsuitable or unreasonable?
2. What order?
- 3.
4. My decision on the above point is as follows:

1. Positive

2. As per final order.

REASONS

Point no. 1:

(5) On behalf of the government, Learned Additional Public Prosecutor Mitaben Patel's argument was heard and the brother of the accused Nand kishore himself was present in the court and the investigating officer PSI Shri

Sosa was heard and the record of the trial court was taken onto reading.

(6) As informed above, the above crime has been registered against this applicant and 18 other persons and according to the investigating officer in this crime, this Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi is required to be arrested and prosecuted for this crime and from the judicial custody of the Judicial Magistrate First Class of Nagpur before the Judicial Magistrate First Class of Valsad under provision of section 467 of Criminal Procedure Code. He cannot be brought into custody in this crime except by the production warrant of Form-36 by proceeding as per the provisions of Section 467. Now if we look at the application submitted on 1/7/03 by the investigating officer before the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Valsad, the same information have also been mentioned in it. In this application, the order passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class dismissing this application is as follows. PSI L D Sosa was heard by the application, read the report

submitted by PSI Sosa, and read section 267 of Criminal Procedure Code, considered the provisions under section 267 of Criminal Procedure Code, this report at this stage is not admissible, the demand as per the report at present is hereby rejected. In view of the order of the Learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, no reason has been given for rejection of the said application. But at this stage the said demand is rejected is only written, but no reason has been given for the rejection. Generally a judicial order on any application should be under speaking so that any application whether granted or not granted the reasons for rejecting an application should be given, but no such reasons have been given in the order so the order is not enforceable. Further, from the record of the trial court, before this revision application was filed by the investigating officer on 13/6/2003, the application seeking transfer warrant was rejected and the judgment of the Judiciary Magistrate First Class Valsad dated 3/6/2003 and dated 13/6/2003 which had issued a transfer

warrant in the Court of Judiciary Magistrate, Nagpur, but in the absence of possession as per this transfer warrant, the present date for proceeding under Section 267 Criminal Procedure Code is presented on 1/7/2003, on this date 2/7/2003 the Investigating Officer submitted another application conveying that they have deposited the previous transfer warrant and on that basis they should provide the custody of the accused for which he filed a list in English for transfer of the accused which was approved and on the basis of the transfer warrant dated 2/7/2003 The transfer warrant as per this application has been issued. In view of all these facts, it appears that the Judicial Magistrate of Valsad has no objection to bring the accused Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi from the custody of Nagpur City Judicial Magistrate First Class to the Valsad City Police Station for the purpose of crime registered under First crime registration number 119/02, but for the same purpose they As stated above, the earlier application was allowed and the transfer warrant was issued.

In such circumstances, the reason is not understandable as no reason has been given in this order as to why the application dated 1/7/2003 has been cancelled by the Investigating Officer, but this order is of a unclear nature when indeed it follows from the transfer warrant that the Judiciary Magistrate First Class considers his conduct in issuing the transfer warrant that the possession of this accused should be brought to Valsad City Police Station Crime Registration No. 119/02. The application dated 1/7/2003 should have been allowed, but instead of doing so, the application has been dismissed without stating any reasons, the order is not proper, therefore I answer point No. 1 in the positive way.

Thus, as stated above, this order is improper and deserves to be cancelled, so if the application of the Inquiry Officer dated 1/7/2013 is allowed, it is fair and reasonable to cancel this order. Accordingly, I make the following final order.

::ORDER::

1. This revision application is being allowed, it is hereby ordered that Valsad City Police Station PSI / Investigating Officer, Valsad City Police Station in Crime Registration No. 119/02 presented before the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Valsad dated 1/7/2003 The order dismissing the application is hereby set aside and this application is allowed.

Order pronounced this 26th day of August 2003 in open court.

Date : 26/8/03

sd/-

Valsad

(L. S. NAKRANI)

(Additional Sessions Judge)

VALSAD.

Hence the example is given that it is a true copy of the original.

Sd/-

sd/-

Assistant

Registrar

District and Sessions Court

Valsad

No. LCB 578/2003

Local Crime Detective

Branch

Valsad,

Date : 12/09/2003.

To,

Police Inspector,

Valsad City Police Station.

Subject: Matter of cancellation of names from
the revised list of absconding
accused.

Reference: As per your letter No. 2346/2003
dated 16/8/2002.

Request Report of Valsad Police
Inspector L.C.B.,

With reference to the above subject
and reference, Valsad City Police Station Crime
Registration No. I 119/2002 I. P. C section
406, 409, 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 120B, 34 has
been registered in Valsad City Police Station
on 6/6/2002. The said Crime Complainant Mr.
Chetanbhai Ramanbhai Desai Job - Bank Manager

Resident - Valsad Mota Bazar Taluka - Valsad
Accused No. (1) Ketan Kantilal Seth Resident
Mumbai (2) Sanjay Hariram Aggarwal Resident
Mumbai - 17 accused against whom complaint was
filed at that time so that from the above no.
A crime has been registered. And the crime
investigation which was conducted by the Police
Inspector G.A. Shaikh Valsad City Police
Station and by Police Inspector Parmar Special
force Valsad and A.L. Desai Police Inspector
L.C.B. Valsad and B.M. Patel Police Sub-
Inspector LCB Valsad on the basis of the
evidence obtained during the investigation of
the crime against the accused (1) Ketanbhai
Kantilal Sheth (2) Sanjay Hariram Agarwal who
was arrested for the above crime dated
11/11/2003 the Charge sheet has been filed
before the Honourable Court. And the second co
accused is declared absconder for the said
crime. And then this crime is being
investigated by L D Sosa Police Sub Inspector
Valsad City Police Station. He found the above
fact after reading the above referred letter
of the revised list of absconders of the

district. Among the absconding accused of the above crime, L D Sosa Police Sub Inspector, found (1)Hiten Bhupendra Shah resident of Mumbai (2)Shilpa Hiten Shah resident of Mumbai (3) Ketan Umesh Mashkaria name were required to be cancelled. But during the investigation of the crime against the accused, no direct or indirect evidence were found against the said accused by the investigating officer L D Sosa during the investigation. The name of the accused should be deleted from the F. I. R. of the said crime was requested and reported before the Honourable Court and the copy of the order passed by the Honourable Court should be provided to the Superintendent of Police Valsad so that they can delete the names from the revised list of the absconders of the said crime. So that they can take the necessary action, which may please be noted.

Date : 11/09/2003

sd/-

POLICE INSPECTOR

L.C.B. VALSAD

TO,

Judicial Magistrate First Class,
Valsad.

Subject: Matter for issuance of production
warrant.

In charge: Order dated 26.08.2003 of Additional
Sessions judge in the Criminal
Miscellaneous Revision Application
no. 64/2003.

Request Report of L D SOSA Police Sub
Inspector Valsad City,

With reference to the above subject
and charge, it is to inform that, the accused
Nand kishore Shankarlal Trivedi resident of
Mumbai of the said crime of Valsad City Police
Station Crime Registration No. I - 119/02 I.
P. C Section 420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 120B, 34
should be arrested for this crime, so the
transfer warrant was issued by you in the
Nagpur Court. On submitting the said warrant
in the Nagpur Court, the custody of the accused
was not given by the Nagpur Court and were

orally informed that the accused will be handed over on submission of the Production Warrant. So on 01.07.2003 you have applied for production warrant under the provision of section 267 of Criminal Procedure Code for 36 type of production warrant but at this stage the application the application was not accepted and the application was rejected.

On rejection of the said application revision application was filed before the Sessions Court, wherein the Sessions Court Judge approved the application for said production warrant to the accused. Along with this the certified copy of the order passed by the Additional Sessions Judge is enclosed here with. It is a request to provide this production warrant in English.

Date : 20/09/2003

sd/-

(L. D. SOSA)

Police Sub Inspector

Valsad City Police Station

No. 2623/2003

Office of Police
Inspector,
Valsad City Police
Station,

Date : 20/09/2003.

To,

Superintendent of Police,
Valsad.

Subject: Matter of permission to go to Nagpur
investigation.

Report of L D SOSA Police Sub
Inspector Valsad City Police Station,

In the above matter to state that
under Valsad City Police Station Crime
Registration No. I 119/2002 I. P. C Sections
420, 421, 422, 423, 467, 120B, 34 accused Nand
kishore Shankarlal Trivedi resident of Mumbai
is in Nagpur Jail so that the Sessions Court
may issue a production warrant. So the Sessions
Court has ordered JMFC to issue the warrant,
and on issuing the said warrant we have to go